

## APPENDIX 7: STUDY-LEVEL RISK OF BIAS ASSESSMENT

Author, yr (trial name)	Sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding*	Incomplete outcome data	Selective outcome reporting	Other sources of bias
Collet 2014 (ARCTIC-INT) <sup>6</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Gilard 2015 (ITALIC) <sup>3</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear
Helft 2016 (OPTIDUAL) <sup>2</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear
Lee 2014 (DES-LATE) <sup>5</sup>	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Mauri 2014 (DAPT) <sup>4</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Nakamura 2017 (NIPPON) <sup>1</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear
Valgimigli 2012 (PRODIGY) <sup>7</sup>	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
*Note: Open-label trials were judged to be at low risk of bias despite a lack of blinding if the outcomes were objectively assessed.						