Supplemental Table 1. Summary of evidence and guidelines regarding radiograph use for common pediatric conditions

Condition	Practice guideline / Evidence	Recommendation
Bronchiolitis	Canadian Pediatric Society ¹	Bronchiolitis is a clinical diagnosis based on history and physical examination. Diagnostic studies, including chest radiograph, blood tests, and viral or bacterial cultures, are not recommended in typical cases.
	American Academy of Pediatrics ²	When clinicians diagnose bronchiolitis on the basis of history and physical examination, radiographic or laboratory studies should not be obtained routinely.
	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence ³	Do not routinely perform a chest X-ray in babies or children with bronchiolitis, because changes on X-ray may mimic pneumonia and should not be used to determine the need for antibiotics.
	Australasian Bronchiolitis Guidelines ⁴	Routine chest x ray is not recommended as it does not improve management in infants presenting with bronchiolitis and may lead to treatments of no benefit.
	Choosing Wisely – Pediatric Hospital Medicine ⁵	Do not order chest radiographs in children with asthma or bronchiolitis.
	Choosing Wisely Australia ⁶	Do not routinely undertake chest X-rays for the diagnosis of bronchiolitis in children or routinely prescribe salbutamol or systemic corticosteroids to treat bronchiolitis in children
	Friedman, BMJ 2021 ⁷	Avoid doing chest x-rays in infants with typical bronchiolitis
Asthma	Canadian Pediatric Society and Canadian Thoracic Society ₈	Ancillary tests are not routinely recommended. Chest x-rays are rarely indicated for typical asthma exacerbations but may be useful to exclude complications () in patients with significant chest pain, hypoxemia, prolonged fever, or persisting asymmetry, or when a patient has failed to improve with maximal conventional treatment. In the absence of suggestive clinical features, chest x-rays increase the risk of overdiagnosis of pneumonia.
	National Heart Lung and Blood Institute ⁹	Chest radiography is not recommended for routine assessment but should be obtained for patients suspected of a complicating cardiopulmonary process, such as congestive heart failure, or another pulmonary process such as pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, pneumonia, or lobar atelectasis.
	Global Initiative for Asthma ¹⁰	Radiographs are rarely indicated; however, if there is doubt about the diagnosis of asthma in a wheezing or coughing child, a plain chest x-ray may help to exclude

		structural abnormalities (e.g. congenital lobar emphysema, vascular ring), chronic infections such as tuberculosis, an inhaled foreign body, or other diagnoses.
	Choosing Wisely – Pediatric Hospital Medicine ⁵	Do not order chest radiographs in children with asthma or bronchiolitis.
	Choosing Wisely Australia ⁶	Do not routinely order chest X-rays for the diagnosis of asthma in children.
Abdominal pain	Choosing Wisely Australia ⁶	Do not routinely order abdominal X-rays for the diagnosis of non-specific abdominal pain in children
	Kellow, Radiology 2008 ¹¹	Abdominal radiography is often requested. However, its results contribute to patient treatment in a small percentage of cases. If a patient requires investigations beyond clinical history, physical examination and laboratory studies, the physician should be encouraged to request more definitive imaging.
Constipation	North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition ¹²	A thorough history and physical examination is generally sufficient to allow the practitioner to establish whether the child requires further evaluation (e.g. if fever, vomiting, bloody diarrhea, failure to thrive, anal stenosis, tight empty rectum) or has functional constipation.
	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence ¹³	Do not use a plain abdominal radiograph to make a diagnosis of idiopathic constipation. Consider a plain abdominal radiograph only if requested by specialist services in the ongoing management of intractable idiopathic constipation.
	Freedman 2014 ¹⁴	Misdiagnosis in children with constipation are more frequent in those in whom an abdominal x-ray was performed. The presence of stool on abdominal radiograph does not rule out an alternative diagnosis.
	Reuchlin-Vroklage 2005 ¹⁵	The limited amount of data shows conflicting evidence for an association between clinical symptoms of constipation and fecal loading on abdominal radiographs in children. The recommendation to perform a plain abdominal radiograph in case of doubt of the presence of constipation in a child cannot be supported.

References

- 1. Friedman JN, Rieder MJ, Walton JM, Canadian Paediatric Society, Acute Care Committee, Drug Therapy and Hazardous Substances Committee. Bronchiolitis: Recommendations for diagnosis, monitoring and management of children one to 24 months of age. Paediatr Child Health 2014;19:485-98.
- 2. American Academy of Pediatrics, Subcommittee on Diagnosis and Management of Bronchiolitis. Clinical Practice Guidelines: The Diagnosis, management and prevention of bronchiolitis. Pediatrics 2014;134(5):1774-93.

- 3. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Bronchiolitis in children: diagnosis and management (NICE guideline NG9). 2015. https://www-nice-org-uk.myaccess.library.utoronto.ca/guidance/ng9.
- 4. O'Brien S, Borland ML, Cotterell E, et al., Paediatric Research in Emergency Departments International Collaborative (PREDICT) Network, Australasia. Australasian bronchiolitis guideline. J Paediatr Child Health 2019;55:42-53.
- 5. Quinonez RA, Garber MD, Schroeder AR, et al. Choosing wisely in pediatric hospital medicine: five opportunities for improved healthcare value. J Hosp Med 2013;8(9):479-485.
- 6. Choosing Wisely Australia. RACP Paediatrics & Child Health Division Recommendations. Accessed November 26th 2021 at https://www-choosingwisely-org-au/recommendations/racp2
- 7. Friedman NJ, Davis T, Somaskanthan A, Ma A. Avoid doing chest x-rays in infants with typical bronchiolitis. BMJ 2021;375:e064132
- 8. Trottier ED, Allain D, Chauvin-Kimoff L, Canadian Paediatric Society, Acute Care Committee, Paediatric Emergency Medicine Section. Managing an acute asthma exacerbation in children. Paediatr Child Health 2021;26(7):438-439.
- 9. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-3): Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma summary report 2007. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007;120(5):S94-138.
- 10. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2021. Accessed online on December 10th 2021 from www.ginasthma.org
- 11. Kellow ZS, MacInnes M, Kurzencwyg D, et al. The role of abdominal radiography in the evaluation of the nontrauma emergency patient. Radiology 2008;248(3):887-93.
- 12. Tabbers MM, DiLorenzo C, Berger MY, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline: Evaluation and treatment of functional constipation in infants and children: evidence-based recommendations from ESPGHAN and NASPGHAN.. J Pediatr Gastroenterol, Nutr 2014;58(2):258-74.
- 13. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Clinical Guideline: Constipation in children and young people: diagnosis and management. Accessed online on December 10th 2021 at www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg99
- 14. Freedman SB, Thull-Freedman J, Manson D, et al. Pediatric abdominal radiograph use, constipation, and significant misdiagnoses. J Pediatr 2014;164(1):83-88.
- 15. Reuchlin-Vroklage LM, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Benninga MA, Berger MY. Diagnostic value of abdominal radiography in constipated children: a systematic review. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. Jul 2005;159(7):671-8.

Supplemental Table 2. Data sources and associated study variables

Data source	Variables
Canadian Institute for Health Information – Discharge Abstract Database (CIHI-DAD)	Admission, ICU admission
National Acute Care Reporting System (NACRS)	ED discharge diagnosis, CTAS score, time and day of presentation
Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP)	Radiograph use, surgical procedures
Registered Person Database (RPDB)	Patient sex, location of residence, date of birth, date of death
ICES Physician Database (IPDB)	Physician sex, years in practice, specialty, domestic vs foreign training
Ontario Institution Database (INST)	Number of patient visits, number of pediatric visits, hospital designation / academic status
Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada's Permanent Resident Database	Immigration status
Office of the Registrar General Vital Statistics Database (ORGD)	Date of death
ASTHMA database	ED discharge diagnosis

ICU: Intensive care unit, CTAS: Canadian Triage Acuity Score, ED: Emergency Department

Supplemental Table 3. Imaging and diagnostic codes from the Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP) and International Classification of Disease 9th and 10th versions (ICD9 and ICD10) used in the study

Imaging modality	OHIP diagnostic imaging codes				
Chest radiograph	X039, X040, X045, X047, X090, X091, X09	X039, X040, X045, X047, X090, X091, X092, X096, X195, X209, X211			
Abdominal radiograph	X036, X037, X060, X100, X101, X103, X10	X036, X037, X060, X100, X101, X103, X104, X108, X109, X110, X111, X113, X117, X122,			
Abdominarradiograph	X123, X129, X130, X134, X135, X137, X13	X123, X129, X130, X134, X135, X137, X139, X140, X191, X197, X231, X232, X233			
Diagnosis	ICD-9 codes	ICD-10 codes			
Bronchiolitis	4661, 46619	J210, J211, J218, J219			
Asthma	493	J45			
Abdominal pain	7890x	R100, R101, R103, R104, R193			
Constipation	5640	K590			

Supplemental Table 4. Pediatric low-value radiograph use by hospital-type, pediatric volume, and physician specialty at Ontario emergency departments between 2010-2019, excluding patients with chronic complex conditions¹

	Bronchiolitis	Asthma	Abdominal pain	Constipation
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Number of observations	N = 57,467	N = 135,044	N = 318,841	N = 104,695
Hospital-type				
Pediatric academic (referent)	1	1	1	1
Adult academic	5.2 (4.7-5.7)	3.0 (2.8-3.2)	1.3 (1.2-1.3)	1.6 (1.5-1.7)
Community hospital with pediatrics	4.9 (4.7-5.2)	2.6 (2.5-3.7)	1.6 (1.6-1.7)	2.4 (2.3-2.4)
Community hospital without pediatrics	2.9 (2.8-3.1)	1.7 (1.6-1.7)	1.4 (1.3-1.4)	1.4 (1.3-1.4)
Pediatric volumes				
High (referent)	1	1	1	1
Medium	0.9 (0.8-0.9)	0.9 (0.9-0.9)	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	0.9 (0.8-0.9)
Low	0.7 (0.6-0.7)	0.6 (0.5-0.6)	0.5 (0.5-0.5)	0.4 (0.4-0.4)
Physician specialty				
Pediatric emergency medicine (referent)	1	1	1	1
Emergency medicine	3.3 (3.0-3.7)	2.8 (2.6-3.0)	1.2 (1.2-1.3)	1.7 (1.6-1.8)
Family medicine + emergency medicine	4.9 (4.6-5.2)	2.8 (2.6-2.9)	1.6 (1.6-1.7)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)
Pediatrics	1.7 (1.6-1.9)	1.7 (1.6-1.8)	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.0 (1.0-1.1)
Family medicine / general practice	3.5 (3.3-3.7)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)	1.3 (1.3-1.4)	1.5 (1.4-1.6)
Others ²	9.2 (8.1-10.5)	9.0 (8.1-10.0)	2.3 (2.2-2.5)	3.5 (3.3-3.9)

¹All models adjusted for patient age, sex, income quintile, immigrant/refugee status, complex chronic conditions, Canadian Triage Acuity Score, time of presentation, physician sex, physician years in practice, and physician training background (domestic vs international)

² Other physician specialties included critical care medicine, psychiatry, internal medicine, anesthesiology, orthopedic surgery, cardiology, nuclear medicine, otolaryngology, neonatal medicine, general surgery, and 44 others.

Supplemental Table 5. Pediatric low-value radiograph use by hospital-type, pediatric volume, and physician specialty at Ontario emergency departments between 2010-2019, excluding return visits within 72h¹

	Bronchiolitis	Asthma	Abdominal pain	Constipation
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Number of observations	N = 57,467	N = 131,479	N = 295,966	N = 99,980
Hospital-type				
Pediatric academic (referent)	1	1	1	1
Adult academic	5.2 (4.7-5.8)	3.1 (2.9-3.3)	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	1.6 (1.5-1.8)
Community hospital with pediatrics	5.1 (4.8-5.3)	2.7 (2.6-2.8)	1.8 (1.7-1.8)	2.5 (2.4-2.5)
Community hospital without pediatrics	2.9 (2.8-3.1)	1.7 (1.6-1.7)	1.4 (1.4-1.5)	1.4 (1.3-1.4)
Pediatric volumes				
High (referent)	1	1	1	1
Medium	0.86 (0.81-0.91)	0.88 (0.85-0.91)	0.96 (0.94-0.99)	0.84 (0.81-0.87)
Low	0.65 (0.58-0.73)	0.56 (0.52-0.59)	0.48 (0.46-0.51)	0.38 (0.35-0.41)
Physician specialty				
Pediatric emergency medicine (referent)	1	1	1	1
Emergency medicine	3.4 (3.1-3.7)	2.9 (2.7-3.1)	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)
Family medicine + emergency medicine	5.0 (4.6-5.3)	2.8 (2.7-3.0)	1.8 (1.7-1.8)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)
Pediatrics	1.8 (1.6-1.9)	1.7 (1.6-1.9)	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.1 (1.0-1.1)
Family medicine / general practice	3.6 (3.3-3.8)	2.2 (2.1-2.4)	1.4 (1.4-1.5)	1.5 (1.5-1.6)
Others ²	9.0 (7.9-10.3)	9.3 (8.4-10.2)	2.7 (2.5-2.8)	3.8 (3.5-4.2)

¹All models adjusted for patient age, sex, income quintile, immigrant/refugee status, complex chronic conditions, Canadian Triage Acuity Score, time of presentation, physician sex, physician years in practice, and physician training background (domestic vs international)

² Other physician specialties included critical care medicine, psychiatry, internal medicine, anesthesiology, orthopedic surgery, cardiology, nuclear medicine, otolaryngology, neonatal medicine, general surgery, and 44 others.

Supplemental Table 6. Pediatric low-value radiograph use by hospital-type, pediatric volume, and physician specialty at Ontario emergency departments between 2010-2019, excluding low pediatric volume hospitals¹

	Bronchiolitis	Asthma	Abdominal pain	Constipation
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Number of observations	N = 57,098	N = 128,199	N = 306,873	N = 101,791
Hospital-type				
Pediatric academic (referent)	1	1	1	1
Adult academic	5.4 (4.8-5.9)	3.0 (2.8-3.2)	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	1.9 (1.7-2.0)
Community hospital with pediatrics	4.8 (4.6-5.1)	2.6 (2.5-2.7)	1.6 (1.6-1.7)	2.3 (2.3-2.4)
Community hospital without pediatrics	3.0 (2.9-3.2)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	1.5 (1.4-1.5)	1.6 (1.5-1.6)
Pediatric volumes				
High (referent)	1	1	1	1
Medium	0.88 (0.84-0.93)	0.88 (0.85-0.91)	0.96 (0.94-0.99)	0.85 (0.82-0.88)
Physician specialty				
Pediatric emergency medicine (referent)	1	1	1	1
Emergency medicine	3.3 (3.0-3.7)	2.8 (2.6-3.0)	1.3 (1.3-1.4)	1.8 (1.7-2.0)
Family medicine + emergency medicine	5.0 (4.6-5.2)	2.7 (2.6-2.9)	1.6 (1.6-1.7)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)
Pediatrics	1.7 (1.6-1.9)	1.7 (1.6-1.8)	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.0 (1.0-1.1)
Family medicine / general practice	3.6 (3.4-3.9)	2.3 (2.2-2.5)	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	1.6 (1.6-1.7)
Others ²	9.1 (8.0-10.3)	9.0 (8.1-10.0)	2.4 (2.2-2.5)	3.6 (3.3-4.0)

¹All models adjusted for patient age, sex, income quintile, immigrant/refugee status, complex chronic conditions, Canadian Triage Acuity Score, time of presentation, physician sex, physician years in practice, and physician training background (domestic vs international)

² Other physician specialties included critical care medicine, psychiatry, internal medicine, anesthesiology, orthopedic surgery, cardiology, nuclear medicine, otolaryngology, neonatal medicine, general surgery, and 44 others.

Supplemental Figure 1. Tre	nds in low-value radiograp	h use by indication at	Ontario emergency de	partments from 2010-2019