STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page in study
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Title page
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	2
		was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods		7 7 2 71 1 71	
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	5
	3	recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection	5
rarucipants	Ü	of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed	NA
		and unexposed	1111
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders,	6
variables	,	and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods	5-6
measurement	O	of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment	
		methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Population
		Describe any errors to address potential sources of olds	level
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Population
			level
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	
variables		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	6
		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Downley		(E) Describe any sonstituty analyses	
Results	124	(a) Demonstrational of individuals at analysis of the second	Descripti
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	Descriptive
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included	cohort
		in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
	1 4 -1-	(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	7
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	7
		social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of .	NA
		interest	
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	

) / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.0	() C'	ъ:
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	Descriptive-
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear	but did
		which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	consider
			population
			based
			proportions
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	NA
		categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute	
		risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions,	NA
		and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	8
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential	11
		bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any	
		potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	9-10
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and	
		other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	12
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present	12
		study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article	
		is based	

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.