## COREQ (COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

A checklist of items that should be included in reports of qualitative research. You must report the page number in your manuscript where you consider each of the items listed in this checklist. If you have not included this information, either revise your manuscript accordingly before submitting or note N/A.

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported or
Domain 1: Research team			Page No.
and reflexivity			
Personal characteristics			
Interviewer/facilitator	1	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	4 of 17
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	William Control of the Control of th
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	1 of 17
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or female?	5 of 17 5 of 17
Experience and training	5	What experience or training did the researcher have?	To be with the second s
Relationship with		and the rescurence maye:	5 of 17
participants			
Relationship established	6	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	
Participant knowledge of	7	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal	4 of 17
the interviewer		goals, reasons for doing the research	4 of 17
Interviewer characteristics	8	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator?	
		e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	4 of 17
Domain 2: Study design		, seasons and interests in the research topic	
Theoretical framework			
Methodological orientation	9	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g.	
and Theory		grounded theory discourse analysis others analysis of the study? e.g.	E 6.1=
		grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	5 of 17
Participant selection		content unutysis	
Sampling	10	How were participants sological as a purposition	
9897 438690 E		How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	4 of 17
Method of approach	11		40117
		How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	4 of 17
Sample size	12	How many participants were in the study?	
Non-participation	13	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	6 of 17
Setting		now many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	6 of 17
etting of data collection	14	Where was the data collected 2 1	
Presence of non-	15	Was appeared by a present by the state of th	4 of 17
participants	13	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	1 05 17
Description of sample	16	What are the 'control of the control	4 of 17
sample	10	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	C - £ 1.7
Pata collection		uata, uate	6 of 17
nterview guide	17	Word quarties	
		Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	4 of 17
epeat interviews	18	Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many?	5 of 17
udio/visual recording	19	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	5 of 17
eld notes	20	Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group?	5 of 17
uration	21	What was the duration of the inter views or focus group?	5 of 17
ata saturation		Was data saturation discussed?	
ranscripts returned		Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or	5 of 17
		. Paradoparto for comment and/or	5 of 17

Topic	Item No.	Guide Questions/Description	Reported or Page No.
		correction?	rage No.
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
Data analysis			
Number of data coders	24	How many data coders coded the data?	
Description of the coding	25	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	5 of 17
tree	255103550	additions provide a description of the coding tree?	6 of 17
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	5 of 17
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	5 of 17
Reporting		on the findings?	5 of 17
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings?	
		Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	6 of 17
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	6of 17
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	6 of 17
		or diseassion of million trieffles?	6 of 17

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Once you have completed this checklist, please save a copy and upload it as part of your submission. DO NOT include this checklist as part of the main manuscript document. It must be uploaded as a separate file.