Cervical Cancer Screening for women living with HIV in the Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study (CHIWOS) Running title: Cervical cancer screening in CHIWOS

Alexandra de Pokomandy^{1,2}, Ann N. Burchell³, Kate Salters^{4,5}, Erin Ding⁵, Nadia O'Brien², Dada Mamvula Bakombo¹, Karène Proulx-Boucher¹, Isabelle Boucoiran⁶, Neora Pick⁷, Gina Ogilvie⁸, Mona Loutfy^{9,10}, Angela Kaida^{3,4}, on behalf of the CHIWOS Research Team[#] [#]All team members listed at end of manuscript are to be hyperlinked as authors

- ¹Chronic Viral Illness Service, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Ouebec;
 - ² Department of Family Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec;
 - ³ Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario;
 - ⁴ Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, British Columbia;
- ⁵ BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Vancouver, British Columbia;
- ⁶ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hôpital Sainte-Justine and Université de
- Montréal, Montreal, Quebec;
- ⁷Oak Tree clinic, BCWH, Vancouver, British Columbia;
- ⁸ Department of Family Practice and School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia:
 - ⁹Women's College Research Institute, Women's College Hospital, Toronto, Ontario;
 - ¹⁰ Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario;

Keywords: HIV, Women, CHIWOS, Pap, Cervical Cancer Screening, Cohort

Corresponding Author: Dr Alexandra de Pokomandy, Chronic Viral Illness Service, McGill University Health Centre, 1001 Decarie Blvd - Rm D02-4110, Montreal, OC, H4A 3J1, CANADA. Telephone 1-514-843-2090, fax 1-514-843-2092. Email: alexandra.depokomandy@mcgill.ca.

WORD COUNT: Abstract 250 (max 250), Manuscript 2483 (max 2500)

NUMBER OF FIGURES & TABLES: 5

Manuscript

2 3		
4 5	35	ABSTRACT
6 7	36	Background: Women with HIV experience an elevated risk of cervical cancer compared to HIV-
8 9 10	37	negative women. In Canada, annual cervical cancer screening (CCS) by Pap test is recommended
10 11 12	38	for women after HIV diagnosis. We explore adherence to current national CCS guidelines among
13 14	39	women with HIV in three Canadian provinces and identify potential strategies for CCS
15 16 17	40	improvement.
17 18 10	41	Methods: Data were obtained from the baseline survey of the Canadian HIV Women's Sexual
20 21	42	and Reproductive Health Cohort Study (CHIWOS). Women were included if they were cisgender
22 23	43	female, aged 21-70, and never had cervicectomy/hysterectomy. Multinomial logistic regression
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	44	assessed independent correlates of self-reported suboptimal adherence to CCS guidelines.
	45	Results: Of 1189 eligible participants, 68.5% received CCS <1 year ago (i.e. as recommended),
	46	17.7% 1-3 years ago (i.e. moderate delay), and $13.7\% \ge 3$ years ago or never (i.e. long delay).
	47	Overall, 26.0% never discussed the need for Pap test with a nurse/doctor. Factors associated with
	48	a long delay were living in Ontario [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 2.51, 95% confidence interval
	49	(CI):1.29-4.88) or Quebec (AOR 3.70, 95%CI:1.79-7.67) (vs British Columbia); being sexually
	50	inactive in the past 6 months (AOR 2.02, 95%CI:1.25-3.25), unknown or <200 cells/mm ³ CD4
	51	counts (AOR 1.78, 95%CI:1.11-2.85) and having a male HIV care provider (AOR 2.15,
43 44	52	95%CI:1.36-3.42).
44 45 46	53	Interpretation: Awareness of CCS recommendations should be improved for women with HIV
47 48	54	in Canada. In addition to known predictors of delays in Pap testing (including sexual inactivity
49 50	55	and poor engagement in HIV care) we found significant differences by province of residence and
52 53	56	gender of her HIV care provider.
54 55	57	
56 57 58		
59 60		For Peer Review Only

58 Introduction

An estimated one-quarter of all people living with HIV in Canada are women (1). Women with HIV experience a 5-24 times greater risk of invasive cervical cancer compared to HIVnegative women,(2-4) primarily driven by persistent high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) infection.(5-7) Cervical cancer has been an AIDS-defining condition since 1993.(8-10) It is the 16th leading cause of death among women in Canada,(11) but the 3rd leading cause of death among women in low-income countries,(12) which are often regions with the greatest burden of HIV.(13)

Cervical cancer is largely preventable with routine cervical cancer screening (CCS) (Pap tests) and HPV vaccination.(14, 15) Since the introduction of Pap tests, cervical cancer incidence decreased dramatically in Canada and has stabilised since 2006 at 7-8 per 100,000 women.(11) Canadian guidelines recommend yearly cervical cytology for women with HIV and do not consider HPV co-testing in this population.(16-20) In United States, recommendations were recently modified to increase CCS intervals to every 3 years following three consecutive Pap tests with normal results, and included consideration of HPV co-testing, where available, for women with HIV above 30 years of age.(21, 22)

Previous studies using chart reviews have reported that women with HIV in high-income countries are insufficiently screened for cervical cancer, with estimates ranging from 29-58% of women being screened in the previous 1-3 years.(23-26) Higher HIV viral load and lower CD4 counts were associated with lower adherence to screening recommendations.(25)

Our primary objectives were to measure the prevalence of self-reported adherence to CCS amongst women with HIV in Canada, and to identify factors associated with CCS delays. A secondary objective was to identify participants' reasons for not receiving CCS according to length of delay in order to inform future care interventions.

Manuscript

83 METHODS

84 Study design

The Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study (CHIWOS, www.chiwos.ca) is a prospective study of women with HIV (n=1422), grounded in community-based research principles. (27, 28) Eligible participants self-identified as women with HIV, 16 years or older, and residing in British Columbia, (BC) Ontario, or Quebec. The study was reviewed and approved by the Community Advisory Committee of the Canadian Institutes of Health Research - Canadian HIV Trials Network, and by the Research Ethics Boards of Simon Fraser University, University of BC Providence Health, Women's College Hospital, and McGill University Health Centre. Participants provided written and voluntary informed consent.

For the present analysis, we used data from the baseline questionnaire completed between August 2013 and May 2015. Trained peer research associates (women with lived experience with HIV who underwent research training) administered online questionnaires in English or French using FluidSurveysTM software. Interviews were conducted in-person at collaborating HIV clinics, AIDS service or community organizations, and in women's homes, or by phone or Skype for participants living in rural areas. Median survey completion time was 120 minutes [IOR: 90. 150]. We restricted the analysis to women eligible for CCS, i.e. who identified as cisgender females, aged 21-70 years. We excluded women who self-reported the removal of their cervix or hysterectomy, answered "don't know" or "prefer not to answer", or did not respond to the two questions on Pap testing.

103 The primary outcome was delay in CCS (either a moderate delay, i.e. reporting their last 104 Pap test was 1-3 years ago, or a long delay, i.e. reporting their last Pap test was at least 3 years 105 ago or never) compared to the recommended interval based on current Canadian guidelines. Two

Cervical cancer screening in CHIWOS

Manuscript

questions were asked based on those from the Canadian Community Health Questionnaire.(29) Women were asked: "*Have you ever had a Pap test? a) yes; b) no; c) don't know; d) prefer not to answer*." Women who answered yes were then asked "*When, approximately, was the last time you had a Pap test? a) less than 6 months ago; b) 6 months to less than 1 year ago; c) 1 year to less than 3 years ago; d) 3 years to less than 5 years ago; e) 5 years ago or more; f) don't know;*

111 g) prefer not to answer."

Potential correlates for time since last Pap test included characteristics related to socio-demographic factors (age, education, ethnicity, household yearly income, city size and province of residence); sexual and reproductive health (sexual orientation, relationship status, sexual activity in previous 6 months, experience of sexual violence, having children, menopause status, use of hormonal birth control); self-reported markers of general health (smoking, drug use, body mass index. CD4 count, HIV viral load, antiretroviral therapy, general health); and, factors related to health care services (HIV medical care, gender and specialty of HIV care provider, location of clinic, travel time from residence to clinic, and whether Pap tests were offered at their HIV clinic). The use of a hormonal contraceptive method included the birth control pill, hormonal intrauterine device, patches, implants, rings, or injections.

122 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics (median and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables) were used to characterize distributions of study variables, with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) when pertinent. Multinomial regression analyses were conducted using a three-category outcome based on timing since last Pap test: less than one year ago (reference category, recent testing); between 1 and 3 years ago (moderate delay); and at least 3 years ago or never (long delay). For the analyses including variables on characteristic of health care, only women who had accessed HIV care in the last year were included. A multivariable Page 7 of 30

Manuscript

model was built retaining age by default, but other variables were included if unadjusted analyses revealed significant association for one of the outcome categories at p < 0.20. Variables were then removed if they did not improve in significance or did not alter the significance of other variables. Knowledge of whether the clinic offered Pap tests was discarded from multivariable model because of concerns regarding reverse causality. Data were analysed with Stata version 11.2 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas, USA).

RESULTS

A total of 1422 women completed the baseline interview. Of these, 233 were excluded from this analysis: 57 did not identify as cisgender female; 29 were aged <21 or >70; 98 had a cervicectomy; 36 had a hysterectomy; and 13 did not provide an answer to the CCS questions. This yielded a final analytical sample of 1189 women. The median age was 42 (IQR:35-50), 40% identified as White, 31% as African, Caribbean or Black, and 22% as Indigenous (Table 1). Most (95%) received HIV medical care in the previous year, 83% were taking combination antiretroviral therapy, and 77% reported their most recent HIV viral load was undetectable (<50 copies/mL).

Women reported their last Pap test was less than one year ago for 68.5% (95%CI:65.8-71.1), between 1 to 3 years ago for 17.7% (95%CI:15.6-20.0), between 3 to 5 years ago for 4.8% (95%CI:3.7-6.2), 5 years ago or more for 4.6% (95%CI:3.5-6.0) and 4.3% (95%CI:3.2-5.6) never had a Pap test.

Characteristics associated with delays in Pap testing

Several socio-demographic and HIV clinical care variables were associated with delays in Pap testing in unadjusted analyses (Figure 1). "Not knowing whether Pap tests were offered at

1		
2 3 4	154	the HIV clinic" (OR 2.12, 95%CI:1.40-3.24 for 1-3 years ago, i.e. moderate delay; OR 3.54,
5 6	155	95%CI:2.31-5.44 for at least 3 years or never, i.e. long delay) and "Not having accessed HIV
7 8	156	care in the last year" (OR 3.64, 95%CI:1.99-6.68 for moderate delay; OR 3.34, 95%CI:1.71-6.52
9 10 11	157	for long delay) showed the strongest associations.
12 13	158	In the adjusted model (Table 2 and Figure 2), women had higher odds of a moderate
14 15	159	delay in CCS if they were living in Ontario (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 2.28, 95% CI:1.35-3.87)
16 17	160	or if their HIV provider was a man (AOR 1.69, 95%CI:1.15-2.49). They had lower odds of a
18 19 20	161	moderate delay if they identified as African, Caribbean or Black ethnicity (AOR 0.47,
21 22	162	95%CI:0.29-0.77) or if they were in a relationship (AOR 0.62, 95%CI:0.42-0.93). Women had
23 24	163	higher odds of a long delay if they were living in Ontario (AOR 2.51, 95%CI:1.29-4.88) or
25 26 27	164	Quebec (AOR 3.70, 95%CI:1.79-7.67), were sexually inactive (AOR 2.02, 95%CI:1.25-3.25),
27 28 29	165	had unknown or less than 200 cells/mm ³ CD4 counts (AOR 1.78, 95%CI:1.11-2.85) and if their
30 31	166	HIV provider was a man (AOR 2.15, 95%CI:1.36-3.42).
32 33	167	
34 35 36	168	Reasons for Pap test delay
37 38	169	When participants were asked if a doctor or nurse ever discussed with them the need for
39 40	170	regular Pap tests, 25.7% (306/1189, 95%CI:23.3-28.3) answered "no". This proportion rose to
41 42 43	171	57.1% (64/112, 95%CI:47.4-66.5) for women who previously had a Pap test but at least 3 years
44 45	172	ago, and to 72.5% (37/51, 95%CI:58.3-84.1) for women who never had a Pap test. The most
46 47	173	common self-reported reason for not having a Pap test in the past 12 months (women could select
48 49 50	174	multiple answers) was "not getting around to it" (47%, 174/374), followed by "not thinking it
50 51 52	175	was necessary" (38%, 142/374), "disliking having the procedure done" (20%, 74/374), "my
53 54	176	health care provider has never mentioned it" (19%, 72/374) and "fear" (14%, 54/374, included
55 56	177	fear of pain, embarrassment, HIV disclosure or finding something wrong). Reporting "not getting
57 58		
59 60		For Peer Review Only 7

Page 9 of 30

Manuscript

Cervical cancer screening in CHIWOS

around to it" was less common among women with long delays (36%, 58/163 versus 55%, 116/211 respectively), whereas the opposite was observed for "disliking having the procedure done" (25%, 41/163 versus 16%, 33/211 respectively) and "fear" (19%, 31/163 versus 11%, 23/211 respectively) (Figure 3). Sub-analyses to examine interprovincial differences Pap testing in the past year was reported by 81.1% (95%CI:76.1-85.4) of participants living in BC, 63.1% (95%CI:59.1-66.9) of participants living in Ontario and 67.3% (95%CI:61.7-72.7) of participants living in Quebec. To examine interprovincial differences, post-hoc analyses were conducted. In BC, 114 of the 288 included participants attended an HIV clinic for women with HIV and their families located in a provincial women's health hospital. There is no similar clinic in Ouebec or Ontario. We stratified British Columbian women according to their HIV clinic and found that 93.0% (95%CI:86.6-96.9) of women followed at the clinic located in the women's health hospital reported a Pap test in the past year, compared to 74.1% (95%CI:67.0-80.5) for women of BC followed elsewhere, and 66.9% (95%CI:63.5-70.2) for women in Ontario and Ouebec (combined). Interprovincial differences were also identified when applying the adjusted model to women stratified by provinces. The gender of the HIV provider had no effect in Ouebec, while having a male HIV provider strongly increased odds of long delay in BC (AOR 5.97, 95%CI:1.77-20.21) and of both moderate (AOR 1.79, 95%CI:1.02-3.14) and long delays in Ontario (AOR 2.18, 95%CI:1.12-4.67). **INTERPRETATIONS** For Peer Review Only

Cervical cancer screening in CHIWOS

In this large, cross-sectional analysis of Canadian women living with HIV, 68.5% of women self-reported having a Pap test within the preceding year, as recommended by current Canadian CCS guidelines.(16-20) Moderate delays were not uncommon with 17.7% reporting their last Pap test occurred 1-3 years ago. Among women who accessed HIV care in the previous year, women with moderate delays tended to live in Ontario; identify with an ethnicity other than African, Caribbean, or Black: be in a relationship: and have a male HIV provider. Long delays (i.e. > 3 years or never), reported by 13.7%, were more common among women living in Ontario or Quebec, who were sexually inactive, who did not know or reported lower CD4 counts, and who reported their HIV provider was a man. More than a quarter of participants reported that a nurse or doctor had never discussed with them the need for regular CCS (73% for women who had never had a Pap test). As previously reported in the general population, providers have a crucial role to play in the adherence to CCS recommendations(30) and our results underline the importance of better communicating the need for CCS to women with HIV. The specialty of the HIV provider as reported by participants did not change the odds of adherence to CCS. Others previously reported that women with HIV receiving care from a gynaecologist or family physician had increased receipt of Pap tests(24, 31-33), but when looking at the specialty of the HIV provider specifically. another study reported an absence of effect. (34) Our finding could also be due to misclassification of the specialty by participants. However, the gender of the HIV provider did affect delays in CCS, particularly in BC and Ontario. This finding may be due to a combination of male provider prioritizing different issues and women feeling uncomfortable having a gynaecological exam done by a man, especially if they have a history of sexual abuse or violence/trauma, as many women with HIV do.(35)

Page 11 of 30

Manuscript

Being in a relationship and sexually inactive increased likelihood of CCS delays, as previously reported by others.(36, 37) Explanations on HPV-related cancers need to specify that the risk remains even if a woman is no longer sexually active, since decades can pass between an initial HPV infection and the development of cervical cancer. The effect direction of ethnicity differs widely in the literature, but the impact of lower CD4 counts on CCS adherence was previously reported by others.(38, 39). We hypothesize that multiple competing health or social priorities probably leads to postponing of preventive care. Although we could not explain interprovincial differences, results from women attending the women's HIV clinic within a women's hospital showed the benefit of their approach for CCS adherence. There are many strengths to our analysis, including that the CHIWOS survey incorporated questions assessing multiple aspects and factors influencing receipt of CCS, incorporating both patient and health care system variables. The questionnaires were created and piloted by both women with HIV and medical experts.(40) CHIWOS is the largest Canadian cohort of women with HIV, and 81% of all women with HIV in Canada reside in the three participating provinces. Nevertheless, our study has limitations. Time since last CCS was measured using self-report, which may underestimate delays due to telescoping bias (i.e. women underestimating the time since the test occurred), which has been identified by others when compared to medical records.(41) Measurement via interview might also have underestimated delay via social desirability bias. Our questions on providers were specific to HIV providers. We did not ask whether participants received care from a primary care physician other than their HIV provider. Our results confirm the need to improve delivery of CCS for women with HIV in Canada, and indicate multiple opportunities to do so. Women with HIV first need to access HIV care as lower immunity increases their risk for HPV persistence and development of cancers. (4-6) Once in care, discussions on CCS benefits need to occur regularly. More sensitive behaviour and

women with HIV.

Cervical cancer screening in CHIWOS

HIV experience disproportionate rates of violence (35, 42) and providing trauma informed care(43), may also improve women's care experience. In circumstances where performing a Pap test is problematic for either the provider or the patient, potential solutions may include office/schedule organisation(23, 44): systematic reminders(45, 46): collaboration with a nurse. female colleague, family physician or gynaecologist to provide CCS; or offering selfare p. sampling.(24, 31, 47) HIV care providers should facilitate awareness and access to CCS for all

attention to patient's comfort by the Pap test performer can strongly impact the experience of

patients, and ensuing adherence with screening recommendations. Being aware that women with

Manuscript

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study (CHIWOS) Research Team would like to especially thank all of the women living with HIV who participate in the research and entrust CHIWOS with their experiences of HIV treatment, care, and support. We also thank the entire national team of Co-Investigators, Collaborators, and Peer Research Associates. We would like to acknowledge the three provincial Community Advisory Boards, and the national CHIWOS Aboriginal Advisory Board, and our partnering organizations for supporting the study. We also acknowledge the BC Centre for Excellence in HIV for in kind data management and analytic support. CHIWOS has been made possible through funding from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the CIHR Canadian HIV Trials Network (CTN 262), the Ontario HIV Treatment Network, and the Academic Health Science Centres (AHSC) Alternative Funding Plans (AFP) Innovation Fund.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Operating Grant (grant# MOP-111041), the CIHR Canadian HIV Trials Network (CTN 262), the Ontario HIV Treatment Network, and the Academic Health Science Centres (AHSC) Alternative Funding

Plans (AFP) Innovation Fund. ADP received salary support from FRQS and Fédération des

Omnipraticiens du Quebec (FMOQ) through LE-250 scholarship. NO and IB also received salary

support from FRQS. ANB and AK received salary support from CIHR.

CONLICTS OF INTEREST

ADP was a site Principal Investigator (PI) for ViiV Healthcare for HIV antiretroviral therapy trials, and received financial support for participation to advisory boards on HIV antiretroviral therapy for ViiV Healthcare.

 Page 14 of 30

³ 290 The CHIWOS Research Team:

British Columbia: Aranka Anema (University of British Columbia), Denise Becker (Positive Living Society of British Columbia), Lori Brotto (University of British Columbia), Allison Carter (British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/ AIDS and Simon Fraser University), Claudette Cardinal (Simon Fraser University), Guillaume Colley (British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS), Erin Ding (British Columbia Centre for Excellence), Janice Duddy (Paci c AIDS Network), Nada Gataric (British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS), Robert S. Hogg (British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS and Simon Fraser University), Terry Howard (Positive Living Society of British Columbia), Shahab Jabbari (British Columbia Centre for Excellence), Evin Jones (Paci c AIDS Network), Mary Kestler (Oak Tree Clinic, BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre), Andrea Langlois (Paci c AIDS Network), Viviane Lima (British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS), Elisa Lloyd-Smith (Providence Health Care), Melissa Medjuck (Positive Women's Network), Cari Miller (Simon Fraser University), Deborah Money (Women's Health Research Institute), Valerie Nicholson (Simon Fraser University), Gina Ogilvie (British Columbia Centre for Disease Control), Sophie Patterson (Simon Fraser University), Neora Pick (Oak Tree Clinic, BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre), Eric Roth (University of Victoria), Kate Salters (Simon Fraser University), Margarite Sanchez (ViVA, Positive Living Society of British Columbia), Jacquie Sas (CIHR Canadian HIV Trials Network), Paul Sereda (British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS), Marcie Summers (Positive Women's Network), Christina Tom (Simon Fraser University, BC), Clara Wang (British Columbia Centre for Excellence), Kath Webster (Simon Fraser University), Wendy Zhang (British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS). Ontario: Rahma Abdul-Noor (Women's College Research Institute), Jonathan Angel (Ottawa Hospital Research Institute), Fatimatou Barry (Women's College Research Institute), Greta Bauer (University of Western Ontario), Kerrigan Beaver (Women's College Research Institute), Anita Benoit (Women's College Research Institute), Breklyn Bertozzi (Women's College Research Institute), Sheila Borton (Women's College Research Institute), Tammy Bourque (Women's College Research Institute), Jason Brophy (Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario), Ann Burchell (University of Toronto), Allison Carlson (Women's College Research Institute), Lynne Cioppa (Women's College Research Institute), Je rey Cohen (Windsor Regional Hospital), Tracey Conway (Women's College Research Institute), Curtis Cooper (Ottawa Hospital Research Institute), Jasmine Cotnam (Women's College Research Institute), Janette Cousineau (Women's College Research Institute), Marisol Desbiens (Women's College Research Institute), Annette Fraleigh (Women's College Research Institute), Brenda Gagnier (Women's College Research Institute), Claudine Gasingirwa (Women's College Research Institute), Saara Greene (McMaster University), Trevor Hart (Rverson University), Shazia Islam (Women's College Research Institute), Charu Kaushic (McMaster University), Logan Kennedy (Women's College Research Institute), Desiree Kerr (Women's College Research Institute), Maxime Kiboyogo (McGill University Health Centre), Gladys Kwaramba (Women's College Research Institute),

- 49 329 Lynne Leonard (University of Ottawa), Johanna Lewis (Women's College Research Institute),
 50 320 Cormon Logic (University of Tononto) Shari Margologo (Women's College Research Institute)
- ⁵⁰ 330 Carmen Logie (University of Toronto), Shari Margolese (Women's College Research Institute),
- 331 Marvelous Muchenje (Women's Health in Women's Hands), Mary (Muthoni) Ndung'u (Women's
 332 College Research Institute), Kelly O'Brien (University of Toronto), Charlene Ouellette (Women's
- 53 332 College Research Institute), Kelly O'Brien (University of Toronto), Charlene Ouellette (Women's
 54 333 College Research Institute), Je Powis (Toronto East General Hospital), Corinna Quan (Windsor
- 55 334 Regional Hospital), Janet Raboud (Ontario HIV Treatment Network), Anita Rachlis (Sunnybrook
- 56 335 *Health Science Centre*), Edward Ralph (*St. Joseph's Health Care*), Sean Rourke (*Ontario HIV*

Manuscript

³ 336 Treatment Network), Sergio Rueda (Ontario HIV Treatment Network), Roger Sandre (Haven
 ⁴ 337 Clinic), Fiona Smaill (McMaster University), Stephanie Smith (Women's College Research

5 338 Institute). Tsitsi Tigere (Women's College Research Institute). Wangari Tharao (Women's Health

- 6 339 *in Women's Hands*), Sharon Walmsley (*Toronto General Research Institute*), Wendy Wobeser
- in Women's Hands), Sharon Walmsley (Toronto General Research Institute), Wendy Wobes
 340 (Kingston University), Jessica Yee (Native Youth Sexual Health Network), Mark Yudin (St-
- 9 341 Michael's Hospital).

Quebec: Dada Mamvula Bakombo (*McGill University Health Centre*), Jean-Guy Baril (Université de Montréal), Marc Boucher (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Ste-Justine), Isabelle Boucoiran (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sainte-Justine), Nora Butler Burke (University Concordia). Pierrette Clément (McGill University Health Center). José Côté (Centre Hospitalier de L'Université de Montréal), Janice Dayle (McGill University Health Centre), Danièle Dubuc, (McGill University Health Centre), Mylène Fernet (Université du Québec à Montréal), Marilyn Fortin-Hugues (Université du Québec à Montréal), Marilou Gagnon (University of Ottawa), Danielle Groleau (McGill University), Fatima Kakkar (CHU Ste-Justine), Maxime Kiboyogo (McGill University Health Centre), Marina Klein (McGill University Health Centre), Gary Lacasse (Canadian AIDS Society), Valérie Lamarre (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Ste-Justine), Carrie Martin (Native Women's Shelter of Montreal), Lyne Massie, (Université de Ouébec à Montréal), Brigitte Ménard, (McGill University Health Centre), Ken Monteith (COCO-SIDA), Nadia O'Brien (McGill University), Joanne Otis (Université du Québec à Montréal), Doris Peltier (Canadian Aboriginal AIDS Network), Alie Pierre, (McGill University Health Centre), Karène Proulx-Boucher (McGill University Health Centre), Danielle Rouleau (Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal), Geneviève Rouleau (Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal), Édénia Savoie (McGill University Health Centre), Cécile Tremblay (Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal), Benoit Trottier (Clinique Médicale Urbaine du Quartier Latin), Jason Szabo (Clinique l'Actuel), Sylvie Trottier (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec), Christos Tsoukas (McGill University Health Centre). Other Canadian provinces or international jurisdictions: Jacqueline Gahagan (Dalhousie

- 363 University), Catherine Hankins (University of Amsterdam), Renee Masching (Canadian
- 364 Aboriginal AIDS Network), Susanna Ogunnaike-Cooke (Public Health Agency of Canada).
- 365 All other CHIWOS Research Team Members who wish to remain anonymous.
 40

REFERENCES

1. Public Health Agency of Canada. HIV and AIDS in Canada: Surveillance Report to December 31, 2014. Ottawa: Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada2015.

2. Salters KA, Cescon A, Zhang W, Ogilvie G, Murray MC, Coldman A, et al. Cancer incidence among HIV-positive women in British Columbia, Canada: Heightened risk of virus-related malignancies. HIV Med. 2016;17(3):188-95.

3. Clifford GM, Polesel J, Rickenbach M, Dal Maso L, Keiser O, Kofler A, et al. Cancer risk in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study: associations with immunodeficiency, smoking, and highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005;97(6):425-32.

4. Frisch M, Biggar RJ, Goedert JJ. Human papillomavirus-associated cancers in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2000;92(18):1500-10.

5. Strickler HD, Burk RD, Fazzari M, Anastos K, Minkoff H, Massad LS, et al. Natural history and possible reactivation of human papillomavirus in human immunodeficiency virus-positive women. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005;97(8):577-86.

6. Clifford GM, Goncalves MA, Franceschi S, Hpv, Group HIVS. Human papillomavirus types among women infected with HIV: a meta-analysis. Aids. 2006;20(18):2337-44.

 Ahdieh L, Klein RS, Burk R, Cu-Uvin S, Schuman P, Duerr A, et al. Prevalence, incidence, and type-specific persistence of human papillomavirus in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive and HIV-negative women. J Infect Dis. 2001;184(6):682-90.

 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1993 Revised Classification System for HIV Infection and Expanded Surveillance Case Definition for AIDS Among Adolescents and Adults. MMWR December 18, 19921993.

Manuscript

9.	Laga M, Icenogle JP, Marsella R, Manoka AT, Nzila N, Ryder RW, et al. Genital
papill	omavirus infection and cervical dysplasiaopportunistic complications of HIV infection.
Int J C	Cancer. 1992;50(1):45-8.
10.	Schafer A, Friedmann W, Mielke M, Schwartlander B, Koch MA. The increased
freque	ency of cervical dysplasia-neoplasia in women infected with the human immunodeficiency
virus	is related to the degree of immunosuppression. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1991;164(2):593-9.
11.	Canadian Cancer Society's Advisory Committee on Cancer Statistics. Canadian Cancer
Statis	tics 2016. Toronto, Ontario: Canadian Cancer Society; 2016.
12.	Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer
statist	ics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015;65(2):87-108.
13.	UNAIDS Global AIDS Update 2016. UNAIDS, Geneva, Switzerland.; 2016.
14.	Kitchener HC, Castle PE, Cox JT. Chapter 7: Achievements and limitations of cervical
cytolc	gy screening. Vaccine. 2006;24 Suppl 3:S3/63-70.
5.	Group FIS. Quadrivalent vaccine against human papillomavirus to prevent high-grade
ervic	al lesions. N Engl J Med. 2007;356(19):1915-27.
6.	Ontario Cervical Screening Guidelines Summary; Revised October 2016-based on
currer	nt (2012) screening guidelines. Cancer Care Ontario.; 2016.
17.	MSSS. L'examen médical périodique de l'adulte vivant avec le virus de
'imm	unodéficience humaine (VIH) - Guide pour les professionnels de la santé du Québec.
Avail	able at http://publications.msss.gouv.qc.ca/msss/document-
<u>00016</u>	1/?&txt=vih&msss_valpub&date=DESC2014.
18.	Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec. Lignes directrices sur le dépistage du
cance	r du col utérin au Québec. Available at:

Cervical cancer screening in CHIWOS

df: Gouvernement du Québec; 2011.

19. BC Cancer Agency. Screening Recommendations for Individuals at High Risk of Developing Cervical Cancer. Available at:

http://www.bccancer.bc.ca/screening/Documents/CCSP GuidelinesManual-

CervicalCancerScreeningProtocols.pdf2016.

20. Cancer Care Nova Scotia. CCNS Cervical Cancer Prevention Program - Cervical Screening Practice Guidelines. Available at: <u>http://www.cancercare.ns.ca/site-</u>cc/media/cancercare/cervical guideline nov13.pdf2013.

21. Panel on Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Infected Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents: recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Update of May 29, 2018. 2018.

22. Panel on Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Infected Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents: recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Update of September 24, 2015. Available at

http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adult_oi.pdf.2015.

23. Cross SL, Suharwardy SH, Bodavula P, Schechtman K, Overton ET, Onen NF, et al.
Improving cervical cancer screening rates in an urban HIV clinic. AIDS Care. 2014;26(9):118693.

Manuscript

24. Leece P, Kendall C, Touchie C, Pottie K, Angel JB, Jaffey J. Cervical cancer screening among HIV-positive women. Retrospective cohort study from a tertiary care HIV clinic. Can Fam Physician. 2010;56(12):e425-31.
25. Thorsteinsson K, Ladelund S, Jensen-Fangel S, Katzenstein TL, Johansen IS, Pedersen G,

et al. Adherence to the cervical cancer screening program in women living with HIV in Denmark: comparison with the general population. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:256.

26. Fletcher FE, Vidrine DJ, Tami-Maury I, Danysh HE, King RM, Buchberg M, et al.
Cervical cancer screening adherence among HIV-positive female smokers from a comprehensive
HIV clinic. AIDS Behav. 2014;18(3):544-54.

27. Loutfy M, de Pokomandy A, Carter A, O'Brien N, Kennedy VL, Proulx-Boucher K, et al.Cohort Profile: The Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study(CHIWOS). 2017.

28. Loutfy M, Greene S, Kennedy VL, Lewis J, Thomas-Pavanel J, Conway T, et al. Establishing the Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study (CHIWOS): Operationalizing Community-based Research in a Large National Quantitative Study. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2016;16(1):101.

29. Statistics Canada. Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) - 2012 Available at: statcan.gc.ca2012.

30. Worthington C, McLeish K, Fuller-Thomson E. Adherence over time to cervical cancer screening guidelines: insights from the Canadian National Population Health Survey. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2012;21(2):199-208.

O'Brien N, Hong QN, Law S, Massoud S, Carter A, Kaida A, et al. Health System
 Features That Enhance Access to Comprehensive Primary Care for Women Living with HIV in

High-Income Settings: A Systematic Mixed Studies Review. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2018;32(4):129-48.

32. Keiser O, Martinez de Tejada B, Wunder D, Chapuis-Taillard C, Zellweger C,
Zinkernagel AS, et al. Frequency of gynecologic follow-up and cervical cancer screening in the
Swiss HIV cohort study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2006;43(5):550-5.

33. Dal Maso L, Franceschi S, Lise M, De' Bianchi PS, Polesel J, Ghinelli F, et al. Self-reported history of Pap-smear in HIV-positive women in Northern Italy: a cross-sectional study.
BMC Cancer. 2010;10:310.

34. Koethe JR, Moore RD, Wagner KR. Physician specialization and women's primary care services in an urban HIV clinic. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2008;22(5):373-80.

35. Logie CH, Kaida A, de Pokomandy A, O'Brien N, O'Campo P, MacGillivray J, et al. Prevalence and correlates of forced sex as a self-reported mode of HIV acquisition among women living with HIV in Canada. Journal of Interpersonal Violence. 2017:1-36.

36. Lambert CC, Chandler R, McMillan S, Kromrey J, Johnson-Mallard V, Kurtyka D. Pap test adherence, cervical cancer perceptions, and HPV knowledge among HIV-infected women in a community health setting. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2015;26(3):271-80.

37. Williams M, Moneyham L, Kempf MC, Chamot E, Scarinci I. Structural and sociocultural factors associated with cervical cancer screening among HIV-infected African American women in Alabama. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2015;29(1):13-9.

38. Chapman Lambert CL. Factors influencing cervical cancer screening in women infected with HIV: a review of the literature. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2013;24(3):189-97.

39. Baranoski AS, Horsburgh CR, Cupples LA, Aschengrau A, Stier EA. Risk factors for nonadherence with Pap testing in HIV-infected women. J Womens Health (Larchmt).
2011;20(11):1635-43.

Manuscript

40. Abelsohn K, Benoit AC, Conway T, Cioppa L, Smith S, Kwaramba G, et al. "Hear(ing) New Voices": Peer Reflections from Community-Based Survey Development with Women Living with HIV. Prog Community Health Partnersh. 2015;9(4):561-9.
41. Lofters A, Vahabi M, Glazier RH. The validity of self-reported cancer screening history

and the role of social disadvantage in Ontario, Canada. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:28.

42. Wagner AC, Jaworsky D, Logie CH, Conway T, Pick N, Wozniak D, et al. High rates of posttraumatic stress symptoms in women living with HIV in Canada. PLoS One.

2018;13(7):e0200526.

43. Raja S, Hasnain M, Hoersch M, Gove-Yin S, Rajagopalan C. Trauma informed care in medicine: current knowledge and future research directions. Fam Community Health.
2015;38(3):216-26.

44. Oster AM, Sullivan PS, Blair JM. Prevalence of cervical cancer screening of HIV-infected women in the United States. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;51(4):430-6.

45. Fonquernie F, Lacombe K, Vincensini JP, Boccara F, Clozel S, Ayouch Boda A, et al.
How to improve the quality of a disease management program for HIV-infected patients using a computerized data system. The Saint-Antoine Orchestra program. AIDS Care. 2010;22(5):588-96.

46. Shuter J, Kalkut GE, Pinon MW, Bellin EY, Zingman BS. A computerized reminder system improves compliance with Papanicolaou smear recommendations in an HIV care clinic. Int J STD AIDS. 2003;14(10):675-80.

47. Wikstrom I, Lindell M, Sanner K, Wilander E. Self-sampling and HPV testing or ordinary
Pap-smear in women not regularly attending screening: a randomised study. Br J Cancer.
2011;105(3):337-9.

Figure 1: Unadjusted correlates of self-reported time since the most recent Pap test among HIV-positive women, Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study, 2013-2015

Footnote: * Indicates analyses restriced to women who received HIV care in previous year (n=1129). BC British Columbia; ART antiretroviral therapy; IDU Injection Drug User; ID Infectious Disease Specialist; LGBTTQ Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, Two Spirit, Queer; ACB African, Caribbean, Black.

Figure 2: Adjusted correlates of self-reported time since the most recent Pap test among HIV-positive women who accessed HIV care in previous year (n=1129), Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study, 2013-2015

Footnote: BC British Columbia; ACB African, Caribbean, Black; IDU Injection Drug User; ART antiretroviral therapy.

Figure 3: Reasons for not having Pap test in last 12 months according to different delays, Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study, 2013-2015

 Table 1

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of participants from the Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and

Reproductive Health Cohort Study (CHIWOS) included in these analyses

	Frequency
	N = 1189
Characteristic	N (%)
Age at interview date (years)	
21-34	271 (22.8%)
35-49	612 (51.5%)
50-70	306 (25.7%)
Province of residence	
British Columbia	291 (24.5%)
Ontario	604 (50.8%)
Québec	294 (24.7%)
Place of birth	
Canada	748 (62.9%)
Africa	307 (25.8%)
Caribbean	62 (5.2%)
Other	72 (6.1%)
Ethnicity	
Indigenous	256 (21.5%)
ACB	371 (31.2%)
White	478 (40.2%)
Other	84 (7.1%)
Size of city of residence	
Small (<30 000 population)	71 (6.0%)
Medium (30 000 - 99 999 population)	139 (11.7%)
Large (100 000 population or more)	979 (82.3%)

Cervical cancer screening in CHIWOS

Education level	
Less than High school or	
Don't know / Prefer not to answer	181 (15.2%)
High school or higher	1008 (84.8%)
Household gross yearly income	
< 20 000 CAN\$	738 (62.1%)
≥ 20 000 CAN\$	418 (35.2%)
Smoking status	
Current/Occasional smoker	503 (42.3%)
Former smoker	140 (11.8%)
Never smoked	540 (45.4%)
Injection drug use	
Current user	99 (8.3%)
Former user	262 (22.0%)
Never used	808 (68.0%)
Time since HIV diagnosis (years)	
< 6	298 (25.1%)
6-14	466 (39.2%)
> 14	386 (32.5%)
Received HIV medical care in the last year	1129 (95.0%)
Currently taking combination antiretroviral	
therapy	981 (82.5%)
Most recent HIV viral load is undetectable	915 (77.0%)
Answers to the question: "Did a doctor or a nurse	
ever discussed the need for regular Pap tests?"	
Yes	880 (74.0%)
No or don't know	309 (26.0%)

Table 1

Cervical cancer screening in CHIWOS

Fime since last Pap tests	
< 1 year	815 (68.5%)
1 to $<$ 3 years	211 (17.7%)
3 to < 5 years	57 (4.8%)
5 years or more	55 (4.6%)
Never had a Pap test	51 (4.2%)

Note: totals do not always add up to 1189 because of missing values.

Page 26 of 30

Table 2 – Correlates of self-reported time since the most recent Pap test among HIV-positive women who received HIV care in the last year, Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study, 2013-2015 (multivariable model, n=1129)

		Time since last Pap test				
Characteristic	n	0 – 1 year (ref. cat.) (n = 791)	1 – 3 years (n = 190)		At least 3 years or never (n = 148)	
		Row %	Row %	OR (95%CI)	Row %	OR (95%CI)
Total	1129	70.1	16.8	Not applicable	13.1	Not applicable
Socio-demographics						
Province of residence	1129	0.				
British Columbia	288	81.6	11.1	Reference	7.3	Reference
Ontario	556	64.9	21.6	2.28 (1.35, 3.87)	13.5	2.51 (1.29, 4.88)
Quebec	285	68.4	13.3	1.81 (0.99, 3.33)	18.3	3.70 (1.79, 7.67)
Age	1129		I			
21-49 years old	829	71.9	16.4	Reference	11.8	Reference
50-70 years old	300	65.3	18.0	1.35 (0.88, 2.06)	16.7	1.54 (0.96, 2.48)
Ethnicity	1129		1	1	1	L
White	464	67.7	19.6	Reference	12.7	Reference
Indigenous	226	67.7	18.6	1.34 (0.82, 2.21)	13.7	1.43 (0.80, 2.57)
African, Caribbean or Black	357	76.2	10.6	0.47 (0.29, 0.77)	13.2	0.66 (0.37, 1.15)

Other	82	63.4	23.2	1.23 (0.65, 2.31)	13.4	1.27 (0.57, 2.82)
Education level ¹	1129		1			<u>I</u>
High school or higher	954	70.0	18.0	Reference	12.0	Reference
<high school<="" td=""><td>175</td><td>70.3</td><td>10.3</td><td>0.56 (0.30, 1.05)</td><td>19.4</td><td>1.56 (0.90, 2.71)</td></high>	175	70.3	10.3	0.56 (0.30, 1.05)	19.4	1.56 (0.90, 2.71)
Habits & Lifestyle						
Injection drug use ¹	1111					
Never	769	69.3	17.7	Reference	13.0	Reference
Former	253	74.3	15.4	0.73 (0.45, 1.19)	10.3	0.71 (0.39, 1.31)
Currently	89	67.4	13.5	0.87 (0.39, 1.93)	19.1	1.73 (0.80, 3.75)
Relationship status ¹	1121					
Single/ Separated / Divorced / Widowed	753	70.0	16.1	Reference	13.9	Reference
Legally married / Common-law / In a relationship	368	70.1	18.5	0.62 (0.42, 0.93)	11.4	0.70 (0.43, 1.12)
Had consensual sex in last 6 months ¹	1023		*•			
Yes	517	75.6	15.7	Reference	8.7	Reference
No	506	64.4	18.8	1.26 (0.84, 1.87)	16.8	2.02 (1.25, 3.25)
Health & Medical History						
Have children	1129					
Yes	764	73.7	14.1	Reference	12.2	Reference
No	365	62.5	22.5	1.34 (0.92, 1.97)	15.1	1.18 (0.74, 1.86)
Most recent CD4 (self-report) (cells/mm3)	1127		1			I
> 200	893	71.4	17.7	Reference	10.9	Reference
< 200 or Don't know / Prefer not to answer	234	65.4	13.3	0.79 (0.49, 1.29)	21.4	1.78 (1.11, 2.85)

I able 2

Current Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) and HIV viral load ¹	1128					
Undetectable HIV viral load (below 50 copies/mL)	906	71.9	16.1	Reference	12.0	Reference
Taking ART but detectable HIV viral load (above 50 copies/mL)	119	61.3	19.3	1.53 (0.84, 2.76)	19.3	1.55 (0.82, 2.91)
Not currently on ART and detectable or unknown HIV viral load	103	65.1	19.4	0.73 (0.45, 1.19)	15.5	1.51 (0.77, 2.97)
Using a type of hormonal birth control method in past 6 months ¹	1127					
No	1001	68.4	17.5	Reference	14.1	Reference
Yes	126	83.3	11.1	0.53 (0.27, 1.03)	5.6	0.55 (0.24, 1.27)
Gender of primary HIV doctor in past year ¹	1119					
A woman	472	80.5	12.1	Reference	7.4	Reference
A man	647	62.6	20.6	1.69 (1.15, 2.49)	16.9	2.15 (1.36, 3.42)

¹ Participants who answered "Don't know" or "Prefer not to answer" were excluded from analyses.



Figure 1: Unadjusted correlates of self-reported time since the most recent Pap test among HIV-positive women, Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study, 2013-2015

Footnote: * Indicates analyses restriced to women who received HIV care in previous year (n=1129). BC British Columbia; ART antiretroviral therapy; IDU Injection Drug User; ID Infectious Disease Specialist; LGBTTQ Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, Two Spirit, Queer; ACB African, Caribbean, Black.

304x182mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 2: Adjusted correlates of self-reported time since the most recent Pap test among HIV-positive women who accessed HIV care in previous year (n=1129), Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study, 2013-2015

Footnote: BC British Columbia; ACB African, Caribbean, Black; IDU Injection Drug User; ART antiretroviral therapy.

317x159mm (72 x 72 DPI)

24.



Figure 3: Reasons for not having Pap test in last 12 months according to different delays, Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study, 2013-2015

127x95mm (300 x 300 DPI)