STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of ***cross-sectional studies***

	Item No	Recommendation	Revised clean manuscript
Title and abstract	1	(*a*) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Page 2-line 34
		(*b*) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Page 2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page 4-5 (rationale: lines 76-82)
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page 5 (lines:82-85)
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page 5 : (lines 88)
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Page 5 (lines 88)
Participants	6	(*a*) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Page 6: (lines 110-111)
		(*b*) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	NA
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Page 6-8 (lines 109-150)
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	*Page 5-8 (lines: 87-150)*
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Page 6 (lines 121) Page 12 (lines 243) Page 12 (line 246)
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Page 6 (lines 110-111)
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Page 8 (lines:142-150)
Statistical methods	12	(*a*) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Page 7 (lines 134-143)
		(*b*) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Page 7 (lines 138-139)
		(*c*) Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
		(*d*) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	Page 8 (lines 142-143)

		(*e*) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Page 8 (lines:159-160)
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Page 9 (lines:172-178) Page 9 (191-194) Table 1 Figure 2
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Mentioned throughout (11 years)
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Page 9 (lines: 166-171) Page 9 (lines: 180-187)
Main results	16	(*a*) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Page 9 (lines:166-187) Table 2
		(*b*) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Table 2
		(*c*) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	NA
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 10 (lines:203-204) Page 11 (lines:209-211)
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Page 12-13 (lines:245-253)
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Page 10-12 throughout
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Page 13 (lines 258-260)
Other information			

Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Page 13 (lines 262-263)

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.