## **CHEERS Checklist**

## Items to include when reporting economic evaluations of health interventions

The **ISPOR CHEERS Task Force Report**, Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS)—Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluations Publication Guidelines Good Reporting Practices Task Force, provides examples and further discussion of the 24-item CHEERS Checklist and the CHEERS Statement. It may be accessed via the Value in Health or via the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines - CHEERS: Good Reporting Practices webpage: http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on page No/ line No
Title and abstract			
Title	1	Identify the study as an economic evaluation or use more specific terms such as "cost-effectiveness analysis", and describe the interventions compared.	Page 1
Abstract	2	Provide a structured summary of objectives, perspective, setting, methods (including study design and inputs), results (including base case and uncertainty analyses), and conclusions.	Page 3
Introduction			
Background and objectives	3	Provide an explicit statement of the broader context for the study.	
		Present the study question and its relevance for health policy of practice decisions.	Page 5
Methods			
Target population and subgroups	4	Describe characteristics of the base case population and subgroups analysed, including why they were chosen.	Page 5: Introduct
Setting and location	5	State relevant aspects of the system(s) in which the decision(s need(s) to be made.	Page 5: Introduct
Study perspective	6	Describe the perspective of the study and relate this to the costs being evaluated.	Page 6: Study overview
Comparators	7	Describe the interventions or strategies being compared and state why they were chosen.	Page 6: Study overview
Γime horizon	8	State the time horizon(s) over which costs and consequences are being evaluated and say why appropriate.	Page 6: Study overview
Discount rate	9	Report the choice of discount rate(s) used for costs and outcomes and say why appropriate.	Page 6: Study overview
Choice of health outcomes	10	Describe what outcomes were used as the measure(s) of benefit in the evaluation and their relevance for the type of analysis performed.	Pages 5-6: Study overview
Measurement of effectiveness	11a	Single study-based estimates: Describe fully the design features of the single effectiveness study and why the single study was a sufficient source of clinical effectiveness data.	Page 7



	11b	Synthesis-based estimates: Describe fully the methods used for identification of included studies and synthesis of clinical	
		effectiveness data.	n/a
Measurement and valuation of preference based outcomes	12	If applicable, describe the population and methods used to elicit preferences for outcomes.	Page 10: Utilities
Estimating resources and costs	13a	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches used to estimate resource use associated with the alternative interventions. Describe primary or secondary research methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to opportunity costs.	n/a
	13b	Model-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches and data sources used to estimate resource use associated with model health states. Describe primary or secondary research methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to	Pages 8-9
Currency, price date, and conversion	14	opportunity costs.  Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities and unit costs. Describe methods for adjusting estimated unit costs to the year of reported costs if necessary. Describe methods for converting costs into a common currency base and the	- ages e e
		exchange rate.	Page 9: Costs
Choice of model	15	Describe and give reasons for the specific type of decision- analytical model used. Providing a figure to show model structure is strongly recommended.	Page 6: Model structure; Figures 1a and 1b
Assumptions	16	Describe all structural or other assumptions underpinning the decision-analytical model.	Pages 6-8
Analytical methods	17	Describe all analytical methods supporting the evaluation. This could include methods for dealing with skewed, missing, or censored data; extrapolation methods; methods for pooling data; approaches to validate or make adjustments (such as half cycle corrections) to a model; and methods for handling population heterogeneity and uncertainty.	Page 10: Analysis of uncertainty
Results			
Study parameters	18	I to viding a table to show the hiput values is strongly	Page 11 and Appendix 1
Incremental costs and outcomes  Characterising	19 20a	For each intervention, report mean values for the main categories of estimated costs and outcomes of interest, as well as mean differences between the comparator groups. If applicable, report incremental cost-effectiveness ratios. Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects	Table 1 and Table 2
uncertainty	20a	of sampling uncertainty for the estimated incremental cost and incremental effectiveness parameters, together with the impact	n/a



	20b	of methodological assumptions (such as discount rate, study perspective).  Model-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects on the results of uncertainty for all input parameters, and uncertainty	Table 3 and Figure 2
Characterising	21	related to the structure of the model and assumptions.  If applicable, report differences in costs, outcomes, or cost-	- Igare 2
heterogeneity		effectiveness that can be explained by variations between subgroups of patients with different baseline characteristics or other observed variability in effects that are not reducible by more information.	Table 3
Discussion			
Study findings, limitations,	22	Summarise key study findings and describe how they support the conclusions reached. Discuss limitations and the	
generalisability, and current knowledge		generalisability of the findings and how the findings fit with current knowledge.	Pages 11-14
Other			
Source of funding	23	Describe how the study was funded and the role of the funder	
		in the identification, design, conduct, and reporting of the analysis. Describe other non-monetary sources of support.	Page 1
Conflicts of interest	24	Describe any potential for conflict of interest of study contributors in accordance with journal policy. In the absence	
		of a journal policy, we recommend authors comply with	
		International Committee of Medical Journal Editors recommendations.	Page 2

For consistency, the CHEERS Statement checklist format is based on the format of the CONSORT statement checklist

The **ISPOR CHEERS Task Force Report** provides examples and further discussion of the 24-item CHEERS Checklist and the CHEERS Statement. It may be accessed via the Value in Health link or via the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines – CHEERS: Good Reporting Practices webpage: <a href="http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp">http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp</a>

The citation for the CHEERS Task Force Report is:

Husereau D, Drummond M, Petrou S, et al. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards (CHEERS)—Explanation and elaboration: A report of the ISPOR health economic evaluations publication guidelines good reporting practices task force. Value Health 2013;16:231-50.

