

**Checklist of recommendations for reporting of observational studies using the STROBE guidelines**

	Item No	Recommendation	Reported
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Abstract
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Introduction
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified hypotheses	Introduction
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Methods
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Methods
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	Methods
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Methods
Data sources/measurement	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Methods, Supplement Tables 3, 4
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Discussion
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Methods, based on availability of the data
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Methods

Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Methods
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Not Applicable
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Not Applicable
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	Not Applicable
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Results
Results			
Participants	13	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—e.g. numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analyzed	Results, Figure 1, 2
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Methods, Figure 1, 2
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1, 2
Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (e.g. demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Results, Table 1, Supplement Table 6
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Results
		(c) Summarize follow-up time (e.g. average and total amount)	Results
Outcome data	15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Results
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g. 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Results, Table 2
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Table 1, Supplement Table 6
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Results, Table 2
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—e.g. analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Results, Supplement Tables 7, 8, 9, 10
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarize key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Discussion

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Discussion
Generalizability	21	Discuss the generalizability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Disclosures