

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No.	Relevant text from manuscript
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2	Abstract: Methods section
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2	Abstract
Introduction				
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3,4	Introduction: paragraph 2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3,4	Introduction: lines 13 to 15, and lines 24-31
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4	Methods: section 1, lines 34 to 42
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4	Methods: lines 37 to 42
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	4	Methods: lines 37 to 39
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	4,5	Methods: section 2, lines 44-49
Data sources/measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	4	Methods: section 1
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias		Methods: lines 104-108
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	4,5	Methods: lines 37-39 and 48-49
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	4,5,6	Methods: section 2
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	6,7	Methods: section 3
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6,7	Methods: section 3
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed		Methods: lines 61-62
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	7	Methods: lines 112 to 114
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA	
Results				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for		Table 1

		eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed		
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	7	Methods: line 97
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram		
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders		Table 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest		Table 1
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	18	Table 3
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included		
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized		
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period		
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	NA	
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9,10	Discussion: paragraphs 1-3
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11	Discussion: lines 193-200
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	10,11	Discussion: lines 172-176, 185-190, 193-200
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	11	Discussion: Lines 191-195
Other information				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	1	Cover Page: Funding/Support section

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.