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Abstract

Background: Endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) has revolutionized ischemic stroke care. We aimed to assess whether neighbourhood socioeconomic status is predictive of access to EVT after receipt of alteplase for ischemic stroke among patients living in Alberta, Canada, and whether this relation is mediated by the distance a person lives to the nearest comprehensive stroke centre (CSC).

Methods: We performed a retrospective study including all people older than 18 years living in Alberta who were admitted to hospital with an ischemic stroke and who received intravenous alteplase treatment between Jan. 1, 2017, and Dec. 31, 2019. Data were obtained through administrative data sets. The primary outcome was treatment with EVT. We assigned neighbourhood deprivation quintile based on the Material and Social Deprivation Index. We used logistic regression modelling to assess for a relation between deprivation and treatment with EVT. We adjusted for age, sex, stroke severity and distance to the nearest CSC. We calculated the average causal mediation effect of distance to the nearest CSC on the relation between neighbourhood deprivation level and treatment with EVT.

Results: The study cohort consisted of 1335 patients, of whom 181 (13.6%) had missing data and were excluded from the main regression analysis. Endovascular thrombectomy was performed or attempted in 314 patients (23.5%). In the primary model, patients from the most deprived neighbourhoods were less likely than those from less deprived neighbourhoods to have received EVT (adjusted odds ratio 0.43, 95% confidence interval 0.24 to 0.77). Neighbourhood deprivation level was not significantly associated with EVT when distance to the nearest CSC was included as a covariate. Mediation analysis suggested that 48% of the total effect that neighbourhood deprivation level had on the odds of receiving EVT was attributable to the distance a person lived from the nearest CSC.

Interpretation: The results suggest that people from more deprived neighbourhoods in Alberta were less likely to be treated with EVT than those from less deprived neighbourhoods. Improving access to EVT for people living in remote locations may improve the equitable distribution of this treatment.
Canada’s health care system. Unlike the United States, Canada has a publicly funded health care system that ensures Canadians access to medically necessary hospital and physician services without paying out of pocket, which eliminates a financial barrier to accessing health care.

The objective of this work was to assess whether neighbourhood socioeconomic status is predictive of access to EVT after receipt of alteplase for ischemic stroke among patients living in Alberta. A secondary aim was to assess whether any discrepancy in the odds of receiving EVT is mediated by the distance a person lives from the nearest CSC.

Methods

Setting
This study was performed in Alberta, which has a population of more than 4 million people. Endovascular thrombectomy is performed only at the 2 CSCs: the University of Alberta Hospital, in Edmonton, and Foothills Medical Centre, in Calgary. The province has a single public health care provider, Alberta Health Services.

Data and population
We performed a retrospective analysis of the Quality Improvement & Clinical Research database, a prospective data set established in 2015 that is maintained by the Cardiovascular and Stroke Strategic Clinical Network at Alberta Health Services. The database includes information for all patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis or endovascular thrombectomy, or both, for ischemic stroke in Alberta. We included all people older than 18 years living in Alberta who were admitted to hospital with an ischemic stroke and who received intravenous alteplase treatment between Jan. 1, 2017, and Dec. 31, 2019. Patients’ clinical and demographic characteristics were extracted from the Quality Improvement & Clinical Research database and Alberta Health Services Data and Analytics (formerly Data Integration, Measurement and Reporting) administrative databases. Case linkage was done through medical record numbers, which were consistent across both data sets. Database extraction and linkage were performed by one of the authors (D.B.-I.). Postal codes were obtained by one of the authors (D.B.-I.). Postal codes were obtained by one of the authors (D.B.-I.).

Independent variable of interest

In Canada, the most widely used measure of neighbourhood socioeconomic status is the Material and Social Deprivation Index, an area-based metric for assessing material and social deprivation. Scores are based on the smallest geographic unit collected in the Canadian census, the dissemination area. Although the Material and Social Deprivation Index was initially created for the province of Quebec alone, it has since been expanded to cover the whole of Canada and has been shown to have an association with death after stroke.

We linked patient home address postal codes to the federal census dissemination areas in Alberta. We assigned a Material and Social Deprivation Index score to each dissemination area using an established deprivation index (Pampalon index) that has been validated for use across Canada. For both material and social deprivation, scores are grouped into quintiles ranging from 1 (least deprived) to 5 (most deprived). We combined material and social deprivation quintiles based on the method suggested by Gamache and colleagues to create an overall measure of socioeconomic deprivation for use in the statistical analyses (Figure 1).

Outcome of interest
The primary outcome of interest was the odds of being treated with EVT after receiving intravenous alteplase treatment for ischemic stroke. All patients in whom EVT was attempted were deemed to have been treated with EVT, regardless of whether the vessel was opened successfully with the intervention.

The total effect of neighbourhood socioeconomic status on the odds of receiving EVT can be divided into a direct effect (the effect of neighbourhood socioeconomic status on our outcome of interest) and an indirect effect (the proportion of the direct effect attributable to a third variable, in this case, distance to the nearest CSC). The indirect effect is termed the average causal mediation effect. We analyzed whether the relation between treatment with EVT and neighbourhood socioeconomic status was mediated by the distance a person lives from a CSC according to the steps outlined by Baron and Kenny. To isolate the mediated effect of distance on this relation, we included an abbreviated model in our mediation analysis with distance to the nearest CSC as the only covariate. The mediation model investigated the discrepancy in the odds of receiving EVT between the most and least deprived neighbourhoods in Alberta.

We examined the home address postal code for all patients in the data set to ensure they were residents of Alberta. We calculated the distance, in kilometres, from the centre of each postal code to the nearest CSC in Alberta (University of Alberta Hospital or Foothills Medical Centre) using ArcGIS Pro (Esri) for geocoding and the NAD83 / UTM zone 11 map projection (Esri). We examined the relation between distance to the nearest CSC and neighbourhood deprivation using the Kruskal–Wallis test.

Statistical analysis
We used descriptive statistics to examine the baseline characteristics of the study cohort. We assessed continuous variables for an association with the outcome of interest using the Student t test and categoric variables using the Pearson χ² test. To assess the relation between our independent variables of interest and our primary outcome, we performed a χ² test and fit 3 multiple logistic regression models. All covariates for the model were selected a priori based on clinical relevance. In the first model, we included age, sex and pre–alteplase National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score as covariates. The National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score is a numerical marker of stroke severity, with higher numbers indicating a more severe stroke. In a secondary model, we included distance to the nearest CSC as a covariate.
We also included age and distance to the nearest CSC as potential effect modifiers using interaction terms and tested them for significant effect modification using the Wald test. Last, we performed a formal mediation analysis using the mediate function of the R package mediation (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).30 We calculated the average causal mediation effect of distance to the nearest CSC on the odds of being treated with EVT between people from the most deprived and least deprived neighbourhoods in Alberta. The mediation analysis was based on our logistic regression models. The mediation package requires prespecified investigational and control groups. Because people from the most deprived neighbourhoods had the lowest proportion of patients treated with EVT, they were considered the investigational group. Those from the least deprived neighbourhoods were designated the control group. We used a bootstrapping method with 500 simulations. We performed all modelling using a complete case analysis; however, to ensure there was no selection bias in treatment with EVT for people for whom deprivation quintile was missing, we performed a sensitivity analysis that included people with missing data as a separate group.

Statistical significance was set at $p = 0.05$. We performed all statistical analyses using R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

**Ethics approval**

This study received ethics approval from the University of Calgary Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board.

---

**Results**

A total of 1400 people experienced an ischemic stroke and received intravenous alteplase treatment in Alberta between Jan. 1, 2017, and Dec. 31, 2019. Of the 1400, 65 were not residents of Alberta and were excluded from the analysis, leaving 1335 patients, of whom 181 (13.6%) had missing data and were excluded from the main regression analysis. Endovascular thrombectomy was attempted in 314 (23.5%) of the 1335 patients; the proportions for quintiles 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were 26.8%, 24.7%, 26.3%, 25.0% and 16.2%, respectively.

**Distance to nearest comprehensive stroke centre and deprivation level**

The median distance to the nearest CSC was significantly greater for patients from the most deprived neighbourhoods than for those from the least deprived neighbourhoods (74.1 km [interquartile range 166.0 km] v. 17.4 km [interquartile range 23.4 km]) ($p = 0.002$) (Figure 2).

**Endovascular thrombectomy and deprivation level**

Bivariate logistic regression showed a statistically significant relation between being in the most deprived quintile and being treated with EVT ($p = 0.04$) (Table 2). The unadjusted odds ratio (OR) for patients from the most deprived compared to the least deprived areas was 0.53 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.32 to 0.87). We did not observe any evidence
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of significant effect modification ($p > 0.05$). As such, the regression models did not contain any interaction terms. In the primary logistic regression model, patients from the most deprived neighbourhoods were significantly less likely to be treated with EVT than those from the least deprived neighbour-

Table 1: Baseline and clinical characteristics of the study cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. (%) of patients*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total $n = 1335$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), yr</td>
<td>72 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>731 (54.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-alteplase NIHSS score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>9 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to nearest CSC, median (IQR), km</td>
<td>23 (128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation quintile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (least deprived)</td>
<td>157 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>251 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>308 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>220 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (most deprived)</td>
<td>247 (18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>152 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVT attempted</td>
<td>314 (23.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CSC = comprehensive stroke centre, EVT = endovascular thrombectomy, IQR = interquartile range, NIHSS = National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

*Except where noted otherwise.

The predicted proportion of patients who would receive EVT decreased with increasing distance to the nearest CSC, regardless of neighbourhood deprivation quintile (Figure 3). In the secondary model, neighbourhood deprivation quintile was not significantly associated with the odds of receiving EVT, although there was a significant inverse relation between the distance to the nearest CSC and the odds of receiving EVT (Table 2).

Mediation analysis

The average causal mediation effect of distance to the nearest CSC on the odds of being treated with EVT, between patients from the most deprived neighbourhoods and those from the least deprived neighbourhoods, was $-0.06$ (95% CI $-0.08$ to $-0.03$). This represents 48% of the effect living in the most deprived neighbourhood compared to the least deprived neighbourhood had on being treated with EVT (total effect $= -0.11$, 95% CI $-0.20$ to $-0.03$).

Sensitivity analysis

There was no significant relation between missing deprivation quintile and the odds of receiving EVT (OR 0.79, 95% CI 0.47 to 1.33).

Interpretation

The results of our unadjusted analysis suggest that people who live in the most socioeconomically deprived areas of Alberta may be less likely to be treated with EVT after receiving alteplase for ischemic stroke than people who live in the least deprived areas of the province. However, our secondary model and mediation analysis showed that the distance a person lived from the nearest CSC accounted for much of this discrepancy. These findings suggest that health care providers are not systematically excluding patients from the most deprived neighbourhoods from this novel treatment, which is encouraging. Nonetheless, disparities may persist. The most deprived neighbourhoods tend to be farther away from CSCs than areas with lower deprivation levels. As such, it may take longer for patients from the most deprived parts of Alberta to get to an EVT-capable centre, thereby decreasing the odds they will be treated with EVT after an ischemic stroke. Ultimately, these findings highlight the challenges of ensuring equitable distribution of time-sensitive interventions to geographically isolated populations.

Before the advent of EVT, the best way of reperfusing ischemic brain during a stroke was thrombolysis with intravenously administered alteplase. This remained the standard of care until 2015, when several clinical trials that showed EVT in addition to alteplase was superior to alteplase alone for treatment of ischemic stroke due to large-vessel occlusion in the anterior circulation. However, there are limited data on whether the benefits from this treatment advance have been equitably distributed along socioeconomic lines. Two US papers published in 2014, one looking at 2006–2010 and the other looking at only 2008, used data from the
National Inpatient Sample database to assess the relation between socioeconomic status and treatment with EVT.\textsuperscript{13,14} Both studies showed a statistically significant difference in the odds of being treated with EVT for patients living in areas with the lowest median income; however, both papers used data collected before EVT was considered the standard of care. More recently, de Havenon and colleagues\textsuperscript{15} and Mehta and colleagues\textsuperscript{16} also used the National Inpatient Sample database to investigate the relation between socioeconomic status and treatment with EVT; both teams found that patients with low socioeconomic status were less likely to be treated with EVT than patients with higher socioeconomic status. Neither group restricted their cohort to patients who received alteplase for their ischemic stroke. Although de Havenon and colleagues\textsuperscript{15} controlled for whether patients lived in an urban or rural area, neither group controlled for the distance a person lived to the nearest CSC.

To our knowledge, no study has investigated the relation between neighbourhood socioeconomic status and receipt of EVT after alteplase administration for ischemic stroke in Canada. We included only patients who were treated with alteplase, which was the previous standard of care. Nonetheless, our results are notable for suggesting that some of the disparity in access to acute stroke intervention between the most and least deprived areas in Alberta is explained by the fact that socioeconomically deprived people tend to live farther from CSCs than people who are less deprived.

The results of our mediation analysis suggest that the discrepancy in access to EVT based on neighbourhood deprivation level is mediated by the distance a person lives to the nearest CSC. Although provinces in Canada are responsible for providing health care to all residents, the reality is more complicated. In a recent review of Canada’s health care system, Marchildon and colleagues\textsuperscript{11} argued that, despite publicly funded health care, people living in rural and remote areas have less access to hospitals and other centres where they can access health care than those from urban and suburban areas. There is also a lower density of health care providers in rural and remote areas. This is of particular relevance for time-sensitive interventions such as EVT for ischemic stroke.\textsuperscript{11,12} A recent US study suggested that people living in rural areas are less likely than those from urban areas to be brought to an EVT-capable centre after an ischemic stroke, and this significantly decreases the odds that they will receive treatment with EVT, regardless of the facility’s transfer capability.\textsuperscript{33} It is not surprising that people who live far from CSCs in Alberta are also less likely to receive

<p>| Table 2: Regression analysis of odds of being treated with endovascular thrombectomy |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Bivariate model, unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Primary model, adjusted OR (95% CI)*</th>
<th>Secondary model, adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation quintile</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (least deprived)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.56 to 1.41)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.52 to 1.53)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.62 to 1.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.00 (0.65 to 1.56)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.58 to 1.62)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.60 to 1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.90 (0.56 to 1.43)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.43 to 1.32)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.48 to 1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (most deprived)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.32 to 0.87)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.24 to 0.77)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.33 to 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.98 (0.97 to 0.99)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.97 to 0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.03 (0.75 to 1.43)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.70 to 1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-alteplase NIHSS score</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.20 (1.17 to 1.23)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.19 to 1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to nearest CSC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.99 (0.99 to 0.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CI = confidence interval, CSC = comprehensive stroke centre, NA = not applicable, NIHSS = National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, OR = odds ratio.
*Adjusted for age, sex and stroke severity.
†Adjusted for age, sex, stroke severity and distance to the nearest CSC.

Figure 3: Logistic regression lines of best fit showing the predicted proportion of patients who would receive endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) based on the distance they lived from the nearest comprehensive stroke centre (CSC), stratified by deprivation level. Each line represents a separate within-deprivation-level logistic regression model.
EVT than people who live closer to such centres, given that EVT is a time-sensitive intervention. We found that patients from the most deprived neighbourhoods were significantly more likely to live farther from the nearest CSC than patients from less deprived areas. As such, it appears that the relation between access to EVT and living in more deprived areas is mediated by the distance to EVT-capable centres. This problem is not easy for Canadian policy-makers — who are tasked with providing quality health care to a geographically dispersed population — to solve. However, our findings suggest that improving access to EVT for people in more remote locations would have the additional benefit of ensuring more equitable access to this treatment for those living in more deprived areas. Other investigators have sought to expand the treatment window for EVT based on certain imaging characteristics, which may improve equity in access to this treatment.

Health care practitioners in remote areas may seek avenues of expediting transfer of potential EVT recipients. Remote robotic EVT is an evolving technology that may offer a means of treating patients from rural areas with EVT before transport, thereby mitigating the effect of distance to a CSC on the likelihood of receiving EVT.

Future work should attempt to replicate our findings without limiting the study cohort to people treated with alteplase. Furthermore, researchers should investigate whether people from areas with low socioeconomic status experience worse outcomes and have longer times to treatment than those from areas with high socioeconomic status. We did not assess the cost-effectiveness of changes that might result in more equitable distribution of EVT based on neighbourhood socioeconomic deprivation and the distance people live from the nearest CSC. As such, future researchers may wish to perform a distributional cost-effectiveness analysis that accounts for improved equity and the finite resources of Alberta’s health care system.

Limitations

Our cohort was restricted to patients who received alteplase as treatment for their ischemic stroke, which excluded any person who presented outside the window for intravenous thrombolysis. This may have systematically excluded people of low socioeconomic status if they were more likely to present outside the treatment window for alteplase. However, this allowed us to compare for whether any pre-existing disparities in access to acute stroke care were widened by the advent of EVT. Second, our independent variable of interest was pre-existing disparities in neighbourhood socioeconomic status. Although neighbourhood socioeconomic status is associated with health outcomes, it raises the possibility of the ecological fallacy. Furthermore, we included distance from a patient’s postal code to the nearest CSC in our logistic regression model. Given that not all strokes occur at home, this may have introduced a source of error into our analysis. Nonetheless, we felt that these were appropriate decisions given the limitations of administrative data, as no more detailed data were readily available. Last, our data set did not contain a variable for ethnicity, which may play a confounding role in the relation between neighbourhood socioeconomic status and treatment decisions. However, previous work using the Pampalon index has shown the index to be predictive of adverse health outcomes even after race is accounted for.

Conclusion

We found a significant difference in the odds of receiving EVT in Alberta after intravenous alteplase treatment for an ischemic stroke based on neighbourhood socioeconomic status. Patients in neighbourhoods with the lowest socioeconomic status tended to live farther from the nearest CSC than patients from less deprived neighbourhoods. Our results suggest that a key avenue for improving the equitable distribution of EVT is to improve access for people who live outside large urban centres. Health care organizations may look to expand access to EVT where adequate numbers of procedures can be assured to maintain quality. Although this would require some resource mobilization, it would increase the equality of access to this disability-saving and, in some cases, life-saving procedure.
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