Challenges faced by people experiencing homelessness and their providers during the COVID-19 pandemic: a qualitative study

Kathryn Hodwitz MSc, Janet Parsons PhD, Clara Juando-Prats PhD, Esther Rosenthal MD, Amy Craig-Neil MSc, Stephen W. Hwang MD MPH, Joel Lockwood MD, Paul Das MD MSc, Tara Kiran MD MSc

Abstract

Background: People experiencing homelessness are vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 infection and its consequences. We aimed to understand the perspectives of people experiencing homelessness, and of the health care and shelter workers who cared for them, during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: We conducted an interpretivist qualitative study in Toronto, Canada, from December 2020 to June 2021. Participants were people experiencing homelessness who received SARS-CoV-2 testing, health care workers and homeless shelter staff. We recruited participants via email, telephone or recruitment flyers. Using individual interviews conducted via telephone or video call, we explored the experiences of people who were homeless during the pandemic, their interaction with shelter and health care settings, and related system challenges. We analyzed the data using reflexive thematic analysis.

Results: Among 26 participants were 11 men experiencing homelessness (aged 28–68 yr), 9 health care workers (aged 33–59 yr), 4 health care leaders (aged 37–60 yr) and 2 shelter managers (aged 47–57 yr). We generated 3 main themes: navigating the unknown, wherein participants grappled with evolving public health guidelines that did not adequately account for homeless individuals; confronting placelessness, as people experiencing homelessness often had nowhere to go owing to public closures and lack of isolation options; and struggling with powerlessness, since people experiencing homelessness lacked agency in their placelessness, and health care and shelter workers lacked control in the care they could provide.

Interpretation: Reduced shelter capacity, public closures and lack of isolation options during the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the displacement of people experiencing homelessness and led to moral distress among providers. Planning for future pandemics must account for the unique needs of those experiencing homelessness.

Homelessness has been an intractable problem in Canada, the United States and elsewhere for decades. Every night, an estimated 35 000 Canadians and more than 500000 Americans are homeless. Most live in shelters, are adult men and have chronic mental and physical health conditions that put them at risk for COVID-19 complications. Shelter residents have been particularly vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Physical distancing, maintaining hygiene, obtaining SARS-CoV-2 testing and isolating when symptomatic are all difficult in shelter settings. Indeed, many shelters have had outbreaks during the pandemic, often with asymptomatic spread, these outbreaks have continued despite high rates of vaccination in the general population. Research has found that people experiencing homelessness are not just more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 but also to experience complications and die from the infection.

As system leaders reflect on the lessons from the pandemic, it is important to learn from the lived experience of people who were homeless and those who cared for them. Some qualitative research has begun to explore the impact of COVID-19 on access to hand hygiene facilities, health-related resources and primary care for the homeless population, as well as their experiences in the first months of the pandemic or during periods of lockdown. However, few qualitative studies have explored the impact of pandemic policies and procedures on people experiencing homelessness in the present situation.
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North America. We sought to understand the perspectives of people experiencing homelessness who interacted with emergency departments, testing centres and shelters during the first 12–15 months of the COVID-19 pandemic (the first 3 waves in Ontario), as well as the perspectives of the health care workers and homeless shelter staff who cared for them and bore witness to their experiences.

Methods

Study design and setting

We conducted an interpretivist qualitative study, employing reflexive thematic analysis, to understand participants’ experiences of the pandemic and the meanings they assigned to them. Interviews were conducted between December 2020 and June 2021, when Toronto had stringent public health restrictions, including city-wide lockdown measures (i.e., closure of many public spaces and stay-at-home orders).

Toronto is Canada’s largest city, with an estimated 8715 people experiencing homelessness in 2018, 80% of whom live in the city’s 75 shelter sites. Our study included participants who worked or lived at or near St. Michael’s Hospital, located close to many shelters downtown. On Mar. 16, 2020, St. Michael’s opened one of Ontario’s COVID-19 Assessment Centres (CACs), providing free COVID-19 testing to members of the public. The CAC was open during daytime hours (7 days a week, 8 am–8 pm from March to August 2020; 8 am–6 pm September 2020 to June 2021); people were directed to the St. Michael’s emergency department if they required a test after hours or needed a more thorough medical assessment. Beginning on Apr. 23, 2020, the St. Michael’s CAC and community partners began doing mobile outreach testing at local shelters under the direction of public health authorities.

The study was reported using the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) checklist.

Participants and recruitment

We focused on 4 groups of participants, namely people experiencing homelessness who had received SARS-CoV-2 testing through shelter outreach, health care workers who worked in the emergency department or CAC, health care leaders who oversaw CAC or emergency department operations during the pandemic and managers of homeless shelters where mobile testing occurred.

We used convenience sampling to recruit people experiencing homelessness who received mobile outreach testing through St. Michael’s Hospital and who consented to be contacted for future research opportunities. We contacted them by telephone and invited them to participate in this study. We also contacted representatives at shelters involved in mobile testing and asked them to share recruitment flyers with people who had consented; flyers instructed shelter residents to contact the study team. We scheduled telephone interviews with everyone who agreed to participate.

We used a mix of purposive and convenience sampling to recruit the remaining participants. We identified 4 health care leaders who were involved in the initial planning and implementation of St. Michael’s Hospital’s COVID-19 response and invited them to participate via email. We then asked them to recommend health care workers in the CAC and emergency department who supported people experiencing homelessness and to send recruitment emails to those individuals, and their teams, on our behalf. Two authors (K.H. and A.C.-N.) also sent recruitment emails to managers and directors of 4 shelters who were involved in mobile testing. Where possible, we selected participants to maximize diversity in roles and experiences (i.e., inclusion of physicians, nurses, peer support workers and administrative support staff, with representation from the CAC and emergency department). All participants, except health care leaders, were given gift cards as honorariums.

Data collection

Using in-depth individual interviews (conducted by K.H., J.P. and C.J.-P., female researchers), we explored participants’ perspectives of SARS-CoV-2 testing, the procedures surrounding testing and the broader challenges facing people experiencing homelessness during the pandemic. Interviews were conducted online via video call or by telephone, and were recorded and transcribed verbatim. The team created interview guides (Appendix 1, available at www.cmajopen.ca/content/10/3/E685/suppl/DC1), guided by the research question and tailored to each participant group. The interview guide for participants experiencing homelessness was reviewed by 4 individuals with lived experience of homelessness who were members of a Community Expert Group. This standing group was created under the auspices of the MAP Centre for Urban Health Solutions to provide guidance and advice on research related to homelessness, housing and health.

Data analysis

We concurrently generated and analyzed data using reflexive thematic analysis, which involves reading the transcripts, inductively coding the data and grouping the codes into interpretive themes reflecting commonalities in participants’ experiences. One author (K.H.) led the analysis, in collaboration with two others (J.P. and C.J.-P.), all experienced qualitative researchers. The full team included clinicians, methodologists and content experts, many of whom have experience caring for homeless populations or conducting research in this area.

One author (K.H.) first coded the data by participant group using NVivo and brought initial codes and reflections to J.P. and C.J.-P. for discussion, who had also coded a subset of the transcripts. As the coding framework developed, K.H. then generated cross-cutting themes through constant comparison of the data (within and across groups) and iterative analytical discussions with J.P. and C.J.-P. Theme descriptions and accompanying codes were presented to the remaining authors for additional interpretation and refinement. The themes were iteratively updated, and data collection and analysis continued until the team agreed we had reached informational and meaning sufficiency (i.e., new data bolstered our current themes rather than suggesting new
themes). We engaged in reflexivity throughout this process, continuously questioning our assumptions and viewing the data from multiple perspectives.18,27
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**Results**

We interviewed 26 participants, including 11 people experiencing homelessness residing at 1 of 3 shelters; 9 health care workers involved in SARS-CoV-2 testing, including physicians, nurses, clinical support staff and administrative support staff; 4 health care leaders; and 2 managers of homeless shelters (Table 1). Interviews with people experiencing homelessness were conducted by telephone; the rest were conducted by video call. Interviews were 30–60 minutes in length. We generated 3 cross-cutting themes from the interview data: navigating the unknown, confronting “placelessness” and struggling with powerlessness, depicted in Figure 1 and described below. Supporting quotes for each theme appear in Boxes 1, 2 and 3.

<p>| Table 1: Participant demographic characteristics |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Health care workers</th>
<th>Health care leaders</th>
<th>Shelter managers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr, range</td>
<td>28–68</td>
<td>33–59</td>
<td>37–60</td>
<td>47–57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male, no. (%)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
<td>4 (44)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Navigating the unknown**
Participants spoke about the overwhelming uncertainty they felt, particularly in the early months of the pandemic. Health care workers and shelter managers highlighted how knowledge of the virus and ways to confront it were constantly evolving, along with relevant public health guidance. Shelter managers also expressed their uncertainty in how to follow directives that did not easily apply in their context, such as enforcing physical distancing in dorm room settings or isolating people with substance abuse or mental health issues.

Hospital leaders described the uncertainty they faced developing processes when “there was really no rulebook” (Health care leader 01). Health care workers who needed to follow these protocols noted how directives changed rapidly, leaving them unsure how to respond on a given day. They also described problem solving in the absence of clear guidelines, most notably supporting people experiencing homelessness who could not self-isolate.

Health care workers noted that people experiencing homelessness were often unaware of protocols, and would sometimes arrive at the testing centre or hospital not knowing they would be unable to return to their shelter while awaiting test results. Participants experiencing homelessness also depicted navigating this uncertainty, where usual activities, spaces and routines were all changed as a result of pandemic restrictions. They also expressed recognizing the challenges of health care workers and shelter staff during this time.

In trying to provide safe and effective care amidst so many unknowns, health care workers and shelter staff spoke about the intense stress they had early in the pandemic, with one describing the emergency department as a “ticking time bomb” (Health care worker 08).

**Confronting placelessness**

- Restrictions within shelters
- Closure of public spaces
- Lack of discharge options for homeless patients awaiting test results or isolating

**Struggling with powerlessness**

- Lack of control in care provision
- Perceived powerlessness of others

- Patient confusion and unpreparedness
- Stress and tension among health care/shelter workers

- Emergency department encampment
- Compounding displacement of homeless population

- Moral distress among health care workers
- Frustration or relative gratitude among patients

**Figure 1:** Experiences and impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on people experiencing homelessness. Bullets represent main concepts that constitute each theme.
Participants highlighted the pervasive “placelessness” of people experiencing homelessness throughout the pandemic. This was most acute in the early pandemic, when test results could take 3–7 days and health care workers needed to make space in the emergency department for patients to stay while awaiting results. The emergency department became a makeshift encampment for people experiencing homelessness who were not able to return to their shelters because they may have been exposed to SARS-CoV-2 but had nowhere else to go.

Participants experiencing homelessness spoke about a broader sense of displacement they felt throughout the pandemic owing to physical restrictions both inside and outside their shelters. In shelters, certain common rooms were closed and bedrooms sometimes needed to be vacated for extended periods for enhanced cleaning protocols. This reportedly led to residents congregating in hallways while bedrooms and common spaces were inaccessible. They recognized that this had important implications for their own and others’ safety. They noted being limited in where they could go outside their shelters at this time given widespread closures of public places. Health care workers commented that ongoing public closures exacerbated the vulnerability of people experiencing homelessness by reducing access to other health care or social supports.

One participant noted that these closures not only limited people’s access to services but potentially destabilized them psychologically, as the physical and emotional connections that constituted their lives were suddenly unavailable to them. Some participants linked the cumulative impact of such destabilization to increased mental health issues and opioid-related deaths among shelter residents. Some also noted that many of these were long-standing issues but were exacerbated and illuminated by the pandemic.

Struggling with powerlessness

Health care workers spoke extensively about the lack of control they felt in providing adequate care for patients experiencing homelessness, noting the tension they felt in the care they could offer versus the care they wished they could give. One participant described the distress of needing to discharge patients once the emergency department reached capacity, even if they did not have anywhere else to go. Shelter managers also conveyed their lack of control in effectively serving residents, particularly those with mental health issues, given the lack of supports available to them.

Ultimately, these participants felt limited in their abilities, as if they were “caught in the middle of the process” (Health care worker 07), without agency. Many health care workers conveyed feeling overwhelmed and powerless during this time. Moreover, they spoke about the psychological toll of witnessing patients’ powerlessness throughout the pandemic.

In contrast, participants experiencing homelessness described a different kind of powerlessness. They spoke relatively positively about health care interactions, noting that the testing they received at their shelters was “very straightforward” (Person experiencing homelessness 08) and that they had “no complaints” (Person experiencing homelessness 05) about staying in an isolation hotel. However, some expressed frustration about the ongoing restrictions and general atmosphere within shelters, conveying a
sense of powerlessness there. Others, conversely, spoke positively of their shelter experience and seemed to recognize the powerlessness of shelter staff during this time. When asked about what other supports might help people experiencing homelessness during a pandemic, most could not identify any, instead conveying a sense of relative gratitude for their situation.
For years, our health and social systems have struggled to meet the needs of people experiencing homelessness. Our qualitative study provides a nuanced picture of how the pandemic heightened existing challenges. Participants described how the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the placelessness of an already destabilized population. With the closure of public spaces and restrictions within shelter settings, people experiencing homelessness had nowhere to go. Early on, shelter residents who required self-isolation often ended up with unplanned overnight stays in the emergency department because there were no other systems in place. Both people experiencing homelessness and providers felt they were left to navigate the uncertainty of the pandemic on their own and felt powerless in their situation. Shelter and health care workers described directives and resource constraints that prevented them from delivering the kind of care they wanted to provide.

Our findings are consistent with other research that has highlighted the compounding marginalization of homeless populations during the pandemic. People experiencing homelessness were more likely to acquire SARS-CoV-2, become seriously ill and die from the infection. Others have also described increased food insecurity owing to widespread closures, a loss of “place” amidst restricted and distance services, a rise in overdose deaths, and increased fear, confusion and uncertainty. In some regions, vaccinations have been slow to reach people living in shelters, leading to outbreaks even as other parts of the population were protected.

Health care workers and shelter staff in our study faced an emotional toll from trying to care for patients experiencing homelessness within a system that did not adequately support their efforts. Other researchers have described the adverse psychological impacts of COVID-19 on front-line health care workers and the rise of moral distress — being unable to take the right or ethical course of action because of institutionalized obstacles. Moral distress can lead to burnout and intention to resign, both notable trends in recent surveys. These effects on the workforce may make it even more challenging for people experiencing homelessness to receive the care they need in the future. In our study, people experiencing homelessness seemed less distressed about their circumstances than those caring for them, which may relate to differing expectations.

Our findings highlight that our society needs to do better to meet the needs of people experiencing homelessness, now and in future pandemics. Participants spoke about some of the system changes required, including better communication and collaboration between stakeholders, centralized oversight of the response, more mental health and addiction supports and, most importantly, housing integrated with social supports. These suggestions should be explored in future research that guides pandemic planning. On the one hand, the pandemic has exposed the limitations of shelters as even a temporizing solution to homelessness. On the other hand, it has shown us that we can use existing infrastructure, such as unused hotel rooms, to rapidly house people. Ultimately, a better pandemic response would include creative solutions to end homelessness.

**Limitations**

We focused on the experiences of participants in 1 geographic region and were only able to recruit male participants experiencing homelessness, which limits the generalizability of our findings. There were temporal differences in what participants explored in their interviews, which may have affected thematic sufficiency; some highlighted early pandemic experiences while others reflected on circumstances at the time of the interview, almost 1 year into the pandemic.

**Conclusion**

Participants experiencing homelessness reported having nowhere to go during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic given public closures and restrictions. Caring for this population amidst resource constraints led to moral distress among health care and shelter workers. Planning for future pandemics needs to account for the unique needs of people experiencing homelessness and include advocacy for policy solutions to end homelessness altogether.
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