STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1 (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the	P2	
		title or the abstract	37-47
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	P2
		what was done and what was found	37-56
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation	P3
_		being reported	59-80
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	P3
			81-87
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	P4
			102-106
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods	P4
		of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	91-126
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	P4
aracipants		selection of participants	115-124
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	P5
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	128-175
		applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	Exposed:
measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	P5
		assessment methods if there is more than one group	128-140
			Unexposed
			P5
			141-175
Bias	9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	P5	
			176-178
			P10
			296-303
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	P4
			102-108
			P5
			131-132
			Table 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	P5
		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	128-175
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control	P6
		for confounding	180-202
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	NA
		interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	P8
			230-231
			Table 2
			footnotes a

		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	P6 197-200
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	P8
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	237-242
Results			237 212
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	NA
- unorposite		numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	
		eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	P8
2.00.1.	1.	clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	218-221
		confounders	Table 2
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each	Table 2
		variable of interest	Table 2
Outcome data	ome data 15* Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures		P8
			222-226
			Tables 2 an
			3
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	P8
viain resures		adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval).	227-236
		Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were	Table 3
		included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	NA
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	NA
		absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and	P8
		interactions, and sensitivity analyses	237-242
Discussion			
Key results		Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	P9
		245-246	
			272-273
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of	P10
		potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude	287-318
		of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20 Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering	P9	
•		objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	245-285
		studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	P10
		2	320-327
Other information		-	
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present	NA
		study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present	
		article is based	

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.