## **Appendix 4** (as supplied by the authors)

()=)=::)===;.			
	Adjusted RR <sup>a</sup>		
Factor*	(95% CI)	P value	
Time (fiscal quarter)	0.98 (0.97-0.98)	< .001	
April-June vs January-March	0.92 (0.88-0.96)	< .001	
July-September vs January-March	0.91 (0.88-0.95)	< .001	
October-November vs January-March	0.90 (0.86-0.93)	< .001	
LHIN			
2 vs 1	0.45 (0.42-0.49)	< .001	
3 vs 1	0.49 (0.45-0.53)	< .001	
4 vs 1	0.54 (0.50-0.58)	< .001	
5 vs 1	0.69 (0.64-0.74)	< .001	
6 vs 1	0.70 (0.65-0.75)	< .001	
7 vs 1	0.93 (0.86-0.99)	.032	
8 vs 1	1.21 (1.13-1.29)	< .001	
9 vs 1	1.37 (1.29-1.46)	< .001	
10 vs 1	0.40 (0.36-0.44)	< .001	
11 vs 1	0.41 (0.38-0.44)	< .001	
12 vs 1	0.51 (0.47-0.55)	< .001	
13 vs 1	0.66 (0.60-0.71)	< .001	
14 vs 1	1.04 (0.95-1.15)	< .001	
Notes: *all factors significant at P<0.05; RR = relative risk; CI =			
confidence interval.			

**Supplemental Table 1.** Results of negative binomial regression model analyzing routine chest X-ray (CXR) use over time in Ontario (n = 2,847,508).

<sup>a</sup> adjusted for all other factors present in the table.

with a random intercept for practice-level effects. $N = 1.709.206$ .		
Fixed Effects. OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)		
Time-based variables		
Time (fiscal guarter)	0.98 (0.97-0.98)***	
April-June vs January-March	0.91 (0.87-0.96)***	
July-September vs January-March	0.91 (0.88-0.95)***	
October-November vs January-March	0.89 (0.85-0.94)***	
Patient characteristics		
Age, years (y)		
45-64 vs 18-44	1.69 (1.65-1.74)***	
65+ vs 18-44	2.06 (1.96-2.17)***	
Male	2.46 (2.39-2.53)***	
Rural	0.94 (0.88-1.01)	
Income quintile		
2 vs 1 (lowest)	0.98 (0.94-1.02)	
3 vs 1 (lowest)	0.88 (0.84-0.92)***	
4 vs 1 (lowest)	0.88 (0.84-0.91)***	
5 vs 1 (lowest)	0.76 (0.73-0.79)***	
Hospitalization - past 5 y	0.87 (0.83-0.92)***	
Mental health diagnosis - past 5 y	0.87 (0.83-0.91)***	
Dementia diagnosis – past 5 y	1.25 (1.02-1.53)*	
Rheumatologic disease diagnosis – past 5 y	0.97 (0.91-1.04)	
Physician characteristics		
Male	1.57 (1.51-1.62)***	
IMG	0.95 (0.92-0.98)**	
Years since graduation		
21-30 vs ≤20	1.29 (1.25-1.34)***	
> 30 vs ≤20	1.81 (1.74-1.87)***	
Primary care practice model <sup>b</sup>		
Family health group vs FFS	0.97 (0.93-1.02)	
Family health network vs FFS	0.56 (0.34-0.92)*	
Family health organization vs FFS	0.83 (0.77-0.90)***	
Family health team vs FFS	0.93 (0.86-1.02)	
Other vs FFS	1.60 (1.40-1.83)***	
<u>Random Effects<sup>c</sup></u>		
Variance (SE)	0.65 (0.04)	
MOR (95% CI)	2.16 (2.08-2.24)	
ICC <sup>c</sup> , %	16.5	
Notes: Significant at P<0.05*, P<0.01 **, P<0.001 ***; OR =	= odds ratio; CI =	
= standard error; MOR = median odds ratio; ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient;		

**Supplemental Table 2.** Patient- and provider-level indicators for a routine chest X-ray (CXR) being ordered on the same day as a periodic health examination based on a multilevel logistic regression with a random intercept for practice-level effects. N = 1.709.206.

Appendix to: Bouck Z, Mecredy G, Ivers NM, et al. Routine use of chest x-ray for low-risk patients undergoing a periodic health examination: a retrospective cohort study. *CMAJ Open* 2018. doi: 10.9778/cmajo.20170138. Copyright © 2018 Joule Inc. or its licensors

All reported values based on SAS PROC GLIMMIX output; model estimation method = RSPL; denominator degrees of freedom estimation method = between and within (bw); covariance structure = standard variance (vc). <sup>a</sup> Adjusted for all other factors present in the table.

- <sup>b</sup> Represents the primary care patient enrollment model which informs practice organization and remuneration.
- <sup>c</sup> Estimated based on the distribution of random, practice-specific intercepts.
- <sup>d</sup> Calculated using the linear threshold method.